

Republic of Indonesia

Indonesia Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap ICCSR



March 2010

AUTHORS

Indonesia Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap - ICCSR

Waste Sector

Adviser

Prof. Armida S. Alisjahbana, Minister of National Development Planning/Head of Bappenas

Editor in Chief

U. Hayati Triastuti, Deputy Minister for Natural Resources and Environment, Bappenas

ICCSR Coordinator

Edi Effendi Tedjakusuma, Director of Environmental Affairs, Bappenas

Editors

Irving Mintzer, Syamsidar Thamrin, Heiner von Luepke, Dieter Brulez

Synthesis Report

Coordinating Author for Mitigations: Hardiv Haris Situmeang

Waste Sector Report

Authors: Asep Sofyan, Enri Damanhuri, Oman Abdurrahman

Technical Supporting Team

Chandra Panjiwibowo, Indra Ni Tua, Edi Riawan, Hendra Julianto

Administrative Team

Altamy Chrysan Arasty, Risnawati, Rinanda Ratna Putri, Siwi Handinah, Wahyu Hidayat, Eko Supriyatno, Rama Ruchyama, Arlette Naomi, Maika Nurhayati, Rachman

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Indonesia Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap (ICCSR) is meant to provide inputs for the next five year Medium-term Development Plan (RPJM) 2010-2014, and also for the subsequent RPJMN until 2030, laying particular emphasis on the challenges emerging in the forestry, energy, industry, agriculture, transportation, coastal area, water, waste and health sectors. It is Bappenas' policy to address these challenges and opportunities through effective development planning and coordination of the work of all line ministries, departments and agencies of the Government of Indonesia (GoI). It is a dynamic document and it will be improved based on the needs and challenges to cope with climate change in the future. Changes and adjustments to this document would be carried out through participative consultation among stakeholders.

High appreciation goes to Mrs. Armida S. Alisyahbana as Minister of National Development Planning /Head of the National Development Planning Agency (Bappenas) for the support and encouragement. Besides, Mr. Paskah Suzetta as the Previous Minister of National Development Planning/ Head of Bappenas who initiated and supported the development of the ICCSR, and Deputy Minister for Natural Resources and Environment, Ministry of National Development Planning /Bappenas, who initiates and coordinates the development of the ICCSR.

To the following steering committee, working groups, and stakeholders, who provide valuable comments and inputs in the development of the ICCSR Waste Sector document, their contributions are highly appreciated and acknowledged:

Steering Committee (SC)

Deputy of International Cooperation, Coordinating Ministry for Economy; Secretary of Minister, Coordinating Ministry for Public Welfare; Secretary General, Ministry of Public Works; Deputy of Economy, Deputy of Infrastructures, Deputy of Development Funding, Deputy of Human Resources and Culture, Deputy of Regional Development and Local Autonomy, National Development Planning Agency; and Chief of Secretariat of the National Council for Climate Change.

Working Group

Ministry of Environment

Sulistyowati, Haneda Sri Mulyanto, Dadang Hilman, Upik S. Aslia, Agus Gunawan, Yulia Suryanti

Ministry of Public Works

Djoko Murjanto, Mochammad Amron, Susmono, A. Hasanudin, Djoko Mursito, Handy Legowo, Setya Budi Algamar, Agus S.K, Adelia Untari.S, Leonardo B, Desfitriana, Devina Suzan, Nur. F. K, Agung. T, Rindy Farrah, Yuke Ratnawulan, Zubaidah. K, Savitri. R

National Development Planning Agency

Sriyanti, Yahya R. Hidayat, Bambang Prihartono, Mesdin Kornelis Simarmata, Arum Atmawikarta, Montty Girianna, Wahyuningsih Darajati, Basah Hernowo, M. Donny Azdan, Budi Hidayat, Anwar Sunari, Hanan Nugroho, Jadhie Ardajat, Hadiat, Arif Haryana, Tommy Hermawan, Suwarno, Erik Amundito, Rizal Primana, Nur H. Rahayu, Pungki Widiaryanto, Maraita, Wijaya Wardhana, Rachmat Mulyanda, Andiyanto Haryoko, Petrus Sumarsono, Maliki

Universities and Professionals

ITB: Saut Lubis, Retno Gumilang; Asia Carbon: Architrandi Priambodo, Susy Simarangkir

Grateful thanks to all staff of the Deputy Minister for Natural Resources and Environment, Ministry of National Development Planning/ Bappenas, who were always ready to assist the technical facilitation as well as in administrative matters for the finalization process of this document.

The development of the ICCSR document was supported by the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) through its Study and Expert Fund for Advisory Services in Climate Protection and its support is gratefully acknowledged.

Remarks from Minister of National Development Planning/Head of Bappenas



We have seen that with its far reaching impact on the world's ecosystems as well as human security and development, climate change has emerged as one of the most intensely critical issues that deserve the attention of the world's policy makers. The main theme is to avoid an increase in global average temperature that exceeds 2°C, i.e. to reduce annual worldwide emissions more than half from the present level in 2050. We believe that this effort of course requires concerted international response – collective actions to address potential conflicting national and international policy initiatives. As the world economy is now facing a recovery and developing countries are struggling to fulfill basic needs for their population, climate change exposes the world population to exacerbated life. It is necessary, therefore, to incorporate measures to

address climate change as a core concern and mainstream in sustainable development policy agenda.

We are aware that climate change has been researched and discussed the world over. Solutions have been proffered, programs funded and partnerships embraced. Despite this, carbon emissions continue to increase in both developed and developing countries. Due to its geographical location, Indonesia's vulnerability to climate change cannot be underplayed. We stand to experience significant losses. We will face – indeed we are seeing the impact of some these issues right now- prolonged droughts, flooding and increased frequency of extreme weather events. Our rich biodiversity is at risk as well.

Those who would seek to silence debate on this issue or delay in engagement to solve it are now marginalized to the edges of what science would tell us. Decades of research, analysis and emerging environmental evidence tell us that far from being merely just an environmental issue, climate change will touch every aspect of our life as a nation and as individuals.

Regrettably, we cannot prevent or escape some negative impacts of climate change. We and in particular the developed world, have been warming the world for too long. We have to prepare therefore to adapt to the changes we will face and also ready, with our full energy, to mitigate against further change. We have ratified the Kyoto Protocol early and guided and contributed to world debate, through hosting the 13th Convention of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), which generated the Bali Action Plan in 2007. Most recently, we have turned our attention to our biggest challenge yet, that of delivering on our President's promise to reduce carbon emissions by 26% by 2020. Real action is urgent. But before action, we need to come up with careful analysis, strategic

planning and priority setting.

I am delighted therefore to deliver *Indonesia Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap*, or I call it ICCSR, with the aim at mainstreaming climate change into our national medium-term development plan.

The ICCSR outlines our strategic vision that places particular emphasis on the challenges emerging in the forestry, energy, industry, transport, agriculture, coastal areas, water, waste and health sectors. The content of the roadmap has been formulated through a rigorius analysis. We have undertaken vulnerability assessments, prioritized actions including capacity-building and response strategies, completed by associated financial assessments and sought to develop a coherent plan that could be supported by line Ministries and relevant strategic partners and donors.

I launched ICCSR to you and I invite for your commitment support and partnership in joining us in realising priorities for climate-resilient sustainable development while protecting our population from further vulnerability.

Minister for National Development Planning/ Head of National Development Planning Agency

Prof. Armida S. Alisjahbana

Remarks from Deputy Minister for Natural Resources and Environment, Bappenas



To be a part of the solution to global climate change, the government of Indonesia has endorsed a commitment to reduce the country's GHG emission by 26%, within ten years and with national resources, benchmarked to the emission level from a business as usual and, up to 41% emission reductions can be achieved with international support to our mitigation efforts. The top two sectors that contribute to the country's emissions are forestry and energy sector, mainly emissions from deforestation and by power plants, which is in part due to the fuel used, i.e., oil and coal, and part of our high energy intensity.

With a unique set of geographical location, among countries on the Earth we are at most vulnerable to the negative impacts of climate

change. Measures are needed to protect our people from the adverse effect of sea level rise, flood, greater variability of rainfall, and other predicted impacts. Unless adaptive measures are taken, prediction tells us that a large fraction of Indonesia could experience freshwater scarcity, declining crop yields, and vanishing habitats for coastal communities and ecosystem.

National actions are needed both to mitigate the global climate change and to identify climate change adaptation measures. This is the ultimate objective of the *Indonesia Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap*, ICCSR. A set of highest priorities of the actions are to be integrated into our system of national development planning. We have therefore been working to build national concensus and understanding of climate change response options. The *Indonesia Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap* (ICCSR) represents our long-term commitment to emission reduction and adaptation measures and it shows our ongoing, inovative climate mitigation and adaptation programs for the decades to come.

Deputy Minister for Natural Resources and Environment National Development Planning Agency

U. Hayati Triastuti

TABLE OF CONTENT

AUTH	IORS			i
ACKN	NOWLE	EDGMI	ENTS	 11
Reman	ks from	n Minist	er of National Development Planning/Head of Bappenas	iv
Reman	ks from	n Deput	y Minister for Natural Resources and Environment, Bappenas	vi
Table	of Con	tent		vii
List of	f Table			ix
List of	f Figure	;		х
List of	f Acron	yms, At	obreviations, and Units	vii
1	INTE	RODUC	CTION	1
	1.1	Backg	ground and Objective	1
	1.2	Repor	rting Methodology	2
		1.2.1	Analysis	2
		1.2.2	Stakeholders' Participation Process	3
		1.2.3	Reporting Systematics	3
2	CUR	RENT	CONDITION AND FUTURE CHALLENGES	5
	2.1	Muni	cipal Solid Waste Source Condition	6
	2.2	Transportation Condition		
	2.3	Waste Processing Condition		
	2.4	Redu	ce, Reuse, Recycle (3R) Condition	13
	2.5	Polici	es and Laws	14
	2.6	Futur	e Challenges of Waste Management	15
3	MITI	GATIC	ON POTENTIAL IN WASTE SECTOR	17
	3.1	Calcul	lation Method	17
	3.2	Utiliza	ation of CH4 from Landfill into Electrical Energy	20

4 POTENTIAL MITIGATION SCENARIO AND STRATEGIC ISSUES				
	OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN WASTE SECTOR			22
	4.1	Green	house Gas Mitigation Scenario of Waste Sector	22
	4.2 Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Scenario in Urban Area			23
	4.3	Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Scenario in Rural Area		
	4.4	Calculation Result of GHGs Scenario for Mitigation on Waste Sector		
	4.5	Calcul	ation of Abatement Cost	43
5 INTEGRATION OF MITIGATION POLICIES				
	INTO	D WAST	TE SECTOR PLANNING	47
	5.1	Alterr	native Formulation of Mitigation Policies based on the Funding difference	47
	5.2	Strategic Issues of Climate Change in Waste Sector		67
		5.2.1	Data Inventory and Planning Group	68
		5.2.2	Regulationand Policy Group	68
		5.2.3	Implementation Group	69
		5.2.4	Capacity Building Group	70
REFE	ERENC	ES		71
APPE	ENDIX	А		73

ICCSR - WASTE SECTOR

LIST OF TABLE

Table 2.1	Waste Management Condition in Indonesia in 2005	11
Table 3.1	Emission Factor for Each Activity of Waste Management	18
Table 3.2	Cost for Each Activity of Waste Management	19
Table 4.1	Comparison Matrix of GHG Emission Mitigation Scenario	
	from Waste Sector in Indonesia for Urban Areas.	39
Table 4.2	Comparison Matrix of GHGs Emission Mitigation Scenario from	
	Waste Sector in Indonesia for Rural Areas.	42
Table 5.1	Assumptions used in Alternative 1 (BAU)	49
Table 5.2	Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 1 (BAU)	49
Table 5.3	Assumptions used in alternative 2 (Law-Based, Maximum funding)	50
Table 5.4	Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 2 (Law-Based, Maximum Funding)	51
Table 5.5	Assumptions used in alternative 3 (Optimistic)	52
Table 5.6	Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 3 (Optimistic Funding)	53
Table 5.7	Assumptions used in Policy Alternative 4 (Moderate funding)	54
Table 5.8	Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 4 (Moderate Funding)	55
Table 5.9	Assumptions used in alternative 5 (Pessimistic funding)	56
Table 5.10	Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 5 (Pessimistic Funding)	56
Table 5.11	Mitigation Action Matrix of Alternative Policies (based on the difference	
	in the amounts of funding)	60

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.1	Prediction of urban and rural population in Indonesia until 2030	6
Figure 2.2	Prediction of solid waste generation percapita until 2030	6
Figure 2.3	Prediction of solid waste generation until 2030	7
Figure 2.4	Distribution map of waste generation in Indonesia in 2010	8
Figure 2.5	Distribution map of waste generation in Indonesia in 2030	8
Figure 2.6	Solid waste management condition in Indonesia in 2005 9	
Figure 2.7	Prediction of solid waste that is collected and transported by local government	10
Figure 2.8	Solid waste processing in urban and rural in Indonesia in 2005	12
Figure 2.9	Solid waste processing that is managed by community in 2005	12
Figure 4.1	Urban waste generation per capita in Source Reduction Scenario	24
Figure 4.2	Waste generation in Source Reduction Scenario compared with BAU	25
Figure 4.3	Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in 3R and	
	Composting Scenario in Urban areas	26
Figure 4.4	Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in 3R and	
	Composting Scenario in Urban areas	26
Figure 4.5	Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in SL+CL	
	Scenario in Urban areas	27
Figure 4.6	Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in SL+CL	
	Scenario in Urban areas	28
Figure 4.7	Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in SL+LFG	
	Scenario in Urban areas	29
Figure 4.8	Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in SL+LFG	
	Scenario in Urban areas	29
Figure 4.9	Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in BAU Scenario in Rural	30

Figure 4.10	Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in BAU Scenario in Rural	31
Figure 4.11	Projection of waste generation percapita in Source Reduction Scenario	
	in Rural compared with BAU	32
Figure 4.12	Projection of waste generation in Source Reduction Scenario in Rural	
	compared with BAU	32
Figure 4.13	GHG emissions (in Gg CO2 eq) in urban areas for every scenario	34
Figure 4.14	GHG emissions (in Gg CO2 eq) in rural areas for every scenario	35
Figure 4.15	GHG emission reduction (in Gg CO_2 eq) in urban areas for every scenario	36
Figure 4.16	GHG emission reduction (in Gg CO_2 eq) in rural areas for every scenario	36
Figure 4.17	Waste Management cost in urban areas for every scenario	37
Figure 4.18	Mitigation cost (Scenario Cost - BAU Cost) in urban areas for every scenario	37
Figure 4.19	Waste Management cost in rural areas for every scenario	38
Figure 4.20	Mitigation cost (Scenario Cost - BAU Cost) in rural areas for every scenario	38
Figure 4.21	Calculation of NPV for Emision Reductions (in tons CO2 eq) for each	
	scenario in urban areas	43
Figure 4.22	Calculation of NPV for Emision Reductions (in tons CO2 eq) for each	
	scenario in rural areas	44
Figure 4.23	Calculation of NPV for Mitigation Costs (in USD) for each scenario in urban areas	44
Figure 4.24	Calculation of NPV for Mitigation Costs (in USD) for each scenario in rural areas	45
Figure 4.25	Abatement Costs for each scenario in urban areas (in USD/ton)	45
Figure 4.26	Abatement Costs for each scenario in rural areas (in USD/ton)	46
Figure 5.1	GHG emissions (in tons CO_2 eq) for each alternative compared to Alternative 1 (BAU)	58
Figure 5.2	GHG emission reductions (in tons CO_2 eq) compared to Alternative 1 (BAU)	59
Figure 5.3	Mitigations cost for each scenario compared to Alternative 1 (BAU)	59

LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND UNITS

BL	Baseline scenario
cap	capita
CDM	clean development mechanism
CER	certified emission reduction
CH4	methane
CL	controlled landfill
СО	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ eq	carbon dioxide equivalent
DOC	degradable organic carbon
DOCF	degradable organic carbon dissimilated
EF	emission factor
eq	equivalent
g	gram
Gg	gigagram
GHG	greenhouse gas
Gt	gigatonne
H ₂	hydrogen
H2O	water
ha	hectare
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
k	methane generation rate constant
kg	kilogram
kt	kilotonne

kWh	kilowatt-hour
L	litre
L_0	methane generation potential
LFG	landfill gas
m	metre
m3	cubic metre
MCF	methane conversion factor
Mt	megatonnes
MSW	municipal solid waste
Mt	megatonne
mV	millivolt
MW	megawatt
Ν	nitrogen
N2	nitrogen gas
NA	not applicable
N/A	not available
N2O	nitrous oxide
02	oxygen
OD	open dumping
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
ppb	part per billion
ppbv	part per billion by volume
ppm	part per million
SL	sanitary landfill
SO2	sulphur dioxide
SOx	sulphur oxides

t tonne

t-km tonne-kilometre

TWh terrawatt-hour

UNFCCC United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

ICCSR - WASTE SECTOR

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Objective

As efforts to climate change mitigation on national level, Indonesia has implemented the following steps:

- Government of Indonesia has ratified United Nations Framework of Climate Change Convention (UNFCCC) through Act No. 6, in1994
- Government of Indonesia has ratified Kyoto Protocol through Act No. 17, in 2004.
- On November 26th 2007, the Ministry of Environment has compiled Rencana Aksi Nasional Perubahan Iklim (RAN-PI) as a commitment to greenhouse gas and climate change mitigation.
- Indonesia was the host of UN's 13th Conference of Parties (COP) in Global Warming in Bali on December 3rd-14th 2007.
- According to the speech of President Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono, the Government of Indonesia has committed to reduce greenhouse gases targeting a reduction of 26% in 2020 (including Landuse, Landuse Change and Forestry). With the right mixture of domestic policies and international support, the Government of Indonesia is confident that greenhouse gas emissions can be reduced by as much as 41% [SBY, 2009]. A presidential decree, stipulating this commitment, had been prepared in January 2010.
- In September 2009, the National Development Planning Agency (Bappenas) has launched Indonesia's Climate Change Trust Fund [ICCTF, 2009], as a financing mechanism to bridge international architecture for climate change in an efficient, transparent, and accountable manner.

In general greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from waste occur during the incineration and open burning of waste, wastewater treatment and discharge, biological treatment of solid waste and from solid waste disposal. In Indonesia the major source of greenhouse gas emissions among the mentioned sources is the disposal and open burning of solid waste. Approximately 42.76 megatonnes of solid waste will be produced in Indonesia in 2010 and hence a signicant amount of GHG equivalents, especially methane (CH4) emitted. CH4 emissions occur in managed and unmanaged landfills from anaerobic degradation of organic material. They cannot be controlled, because final waste disposal technology has not been applied yet and open dumping is still common practice. Currently there are about 400 landfills (open dumping) in Indonesia, which are managed by the local governments. At national level the local governments are supported by the Ministry of Public Works with technical assistant, regulation and quality control of solid waste management, while environmental aspects are supported and controlled by the Ministry of Environment.

This chapter of the Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap, the Waste Sector Roadmap, attempts to be a facts-based assessment of emissions reduction potential in the Indonesian waste sector, medium (2020) and long term (2030) stating cost estimates for each of the described reduction opportunities. The

purpose is to give direction for the mitigation of GHG emissions from domestic solid waste disposal and open burning, as well as integrating these mitigation measures into development planning to build up a sustainable national waste sector management.

In this Waste Sector Roadmap, mitigation options will be discussed for GHG emissions from domestic solid waste only, because (1) they are the major source of GHG emissions from the waste sector, and (2) the management of solid waste seems to be more advanced than the management of wastewater etc. and yet ready to implement GHG emissions mitigation measures in both short and long term. The detailed objectives of this Waste Sector Roadmap are:

- To estimate Indonesia's potential GHG emissions resulting from domestic solid waste to year 2030;
- To estimate the size of GHG emissions mitigation potential from domestic solid waste as a contribution to Indonesia's national commitments to reduce GHG emissions;
- To incorporate the waste sector's emissions reduction efforts into the national economic development plans;
- To position solid waste management as a priority for action in the short and medium-terms; and
- To identify technologies and programs required to support activities that can reduce GHG emissions from solid waste disposal and open burning.

1.2 Reporting Methodology

1.2.1 Analysis

Analysis in the formulation of the Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap for the waste sector was conducted in the following way:

- a) Collect and review documents related to the Indonsian waste sector from the Ministry of Public Works, the State Ministry of Environment, the National Development Planning Agency and the Agency for the Assessment and Application of Technology (BPPT) such as *Synthesis Report for Indonesia's Technology Need Assessment on Climate Change Mitigation* (Agency for the Assessment and Application of Technology GTZ, March 2009).
- b) Conduct a literature review and a scientific basis study of the impact of domestic waste on climate change, e.g. by reviewing the document of 2006 IPCC Guideline.
- c) Estimate the amount of solid waste generated in Indonesia and derive the GHG emissions originating from domestic solid waste.

- d) Build GHG emissions scenarios for different GHG emissions mitigation options.
- e) On the base of these GHG emissions scenarios develop a mitigation program for the Indonesian waste sector within the mentioned boundaries.

1.2.2 Stakeholders' Participation Process

Participation of stakeholders during the process of preparing this Waste Sector Roadmap could be achieved in several ways, including:

- Consultation and discussion conducted with the officials, researchers, and experts in the relevant agencies, especially the National Development Planning Agency, Ministry of Public Works, and Ministry of Environment.
- Implementation of Forum Group Discussion (FGD), Pre-FGD, and coordination meeting with the Ministry of Public Works and related agencies, which have been conducted both in Bappenas and in the Ministry of Public Works. This FGD also discussed cross-sectoral issues.

1.2.3 Reporting Systematics

This Waste Sector Roadmap is divided into 5 chapters with the following systematics:

- Chapter 1 discusses background and objective of the Waste Sector Roadmap
- Chapter 2 discusses the condition of waste management in Indonesia
- Chapter 3 discusses the calculation methodology of greenhouse gases from landfills and its potential in Indonesia, as well as various assumptions used in the calculation.
- Chapter 4 discusses various scenarios for the mitigation of GHG emissions from landfills. In order to elucidate the most effective and efficient scenario, the abatement costs of the scenarios are compared.
- Chapter 5 discusses various solid waste management policy alternatives based on cost assumptions of the Government of Indonesia (GoI). These alternatives differ in their assumptions about the implementation of laws and regulations for GHG emissions mitigation and the costs, the GOI has to calculate for these programs. The discussion will focus on different issues than the discussion in Chapter 4, which concentrated on various mitigation technologies. In addition, Chapter 5 also discusses mid- and long-term programs as a result of FGDs with related sectors, especially the Ministry of Public Works.

CURRENT CONDITION AND FUTURE CHALLENGES

Post-consumer waste is one of the contributors to global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions with the largest amount of waste generated methane (CH_4) originationg from landfills. There are about 400 landfills in Indonesia, which are all open dump sites. Solid waste management services in Indonesia are authorized by local governments to encompass collection, transportation, treatment and final disposal of waste. Eventhough currently the dominant final disposal option is still open dumping, which causes many environmental and social problem, most of the local governments have attempted to improve their waste management in an environmentally and socially satisfactory manner using the most economical means available. Solid waste management follows Act No. 18 of 2008 on Waste Management.

Setting a baseline for GHG emissions from domestic solid waste is an essential step for assessing potential GHG emissions mitigation scenarios and actions. A baseline serves as the reference period, which the future change in the amount GHG emissions under different scenarios is estimated from. The choice of the baseline period often depends on the availability of required data. This Waste Sector Roadmap uses the year 2005 as the baseline year, because the available dataset for this year is more comprehensive than for other years.

The baseline condition of waste management in Indonesia in 2005 can be divided into (1) waste source condition, (2) waste transportation condition, (3) waste processing condition, (4) reduce, reuse, recycle (3R) condition, and (5) policies and laws.



Figure 2.1 Prediction of urban and rural population in Indonesia until 2030

2.1 Municipal Solid Waste Source Condition

In 2005 Indonesia's population counted 218.8 million inhabitants (BPS, 2006). The level of waste generation was estimated to be around 0.6 kg/capita/day for urban areas and 0.3 kg/capita/day for rural areas, resulting in a total amunt of 33.5 Mt of solid waste in 2005. As described in figure 2.2, solid waste generation in Indonesia will continue to increase in such way, that in 2030 it will reach a level of 1,2 kg/capita/day for urban areas and 0.55 kg/capita/day for urban area.



Figure 2.2 Prediction of solid waste generation percapita until 2030

Organic waste is the main component of domestic solid waste. The organic waste proportion in solid waste is in average 20 - 30% higher in Asian countries than in most European countries. However, there is an indication of lifestyle change in Asian countries, which can be proven by the increasing amount of plastic and paper waste and a decreasing quantity of organic waste. Also in Indonesia waste composition is becoming more similar to industrial countries' waste composition in accordance to Indonesia's economical transition.



Figure 2.3 Prediction of solid waste generation until 2030

Figure 2.4 and 2.5 show distribution maps of predicted waste generation in Indonesia in 2010 and 2030.



Figure 2.4 Distribution map of waste generation in Indonesia in 2010

As cities grow economically, business activity and consumption patterns drive up solid waste quantities. Figures 2.5 shows that the high volume solid waste area is not only Java island but also Sumatera Island.



Figure 2.5 Distribution map of waste generation in Indonesia in 2030

2.2 Transportation Condition

In Indonesia solid waste originates to approximately 50% in urban areas and 20% in rural areas. It is transported collectively by the Department of Local Solid Waste Management or other appropriate institutions, which are obligated by the local government (figure 2.6). Solid waste, which is not transported by the government, has to be self-managed by the community.



Figure 2.6 Solid waste management condition in Indonesia in 2005

According to the governments' work plans, solid waste transportation is predicted to increase continuously from year to year (figure 2.7). In general, the duty of the Department of Local Solid Waste Management

is to collect solid waste from the polling station to the landfill, while urban communities organize the waste collection from the source (house) to the polling station independently. Such solid waste collection system has many weaknesses, since a large amount of solid waste is not collected and instead thrown away into the drainage channels or rivers. In addition, there are still technical problems associated with equipments and supplies in waste management. Generally, cities and regencies in Indonesia are short of vehicles for collecting and transporting solid waste.

Another important aspect is the source of finance of domestic solid waste management. In most Indonesian cities finance originates from the governments' development budget, in some cases from offshore loans or from solid waste retribution charged to the waste generator. Since the economic crisis, the income through retribution in the city has been decreasing along with the slackening economic capacity of society/community. It is indeed hard to raise the retribution, considering the still limited economic capacity of society/community.



Figure 2.7 Prediction of solid waste that is collected and transported by local government

2.3 Waste Processing Condition

The solid waste transported collectively by the local government is not entirely processed in landfills as described in Figure 2.8. The conditions of waste management in Indonesia are represented in Table 2-1 in detail. Based on the research data of the area of Bandung Raya and other secondary information from several places in Indonesia in 2005 (see Tabel 2-1), we found that ¹ (a) 3% of anorganic solid waste was recovered, (b) 1% of organic solid waste was composted, (c) 0.5% of solid waste was burned at the polling stations and landfills; 45% ended up in covering open dumping; and 0.5% in covering landfill equipped with a sanitary biogas capture.

Some waste are managed by the community themselves with a composition of anorganic solid wasterecovery of 3%, organic solid waste composting of 1%, solid waste burning of 5%, and waste disposal into river channels and open dumping anywhere were 1% and 40%, respectively. Only around 20% of solid waste in rural areas were transported collectively by Governmental organizations, while the remaining 80% were managed by the community.

Year 2005	Unit	Urban	Rural
Waste generated	kg/capita/day	0.6	0.3
Increase of waste generated per year	%	2.5	1
Waste transported collectively (Office)	%	50	20
Increase of collectively transported waste per year	%	2.5	1
Waste managed collectively in 2005:			
Inorganic recovered	0/0	3	0.5
Organic composted	0/0	1	5.5
• Burned at polling stations and landfills	0/0	0.5	10
Covering open dumping	0/0	45	4
• Covering sanitary landfill + biogas capture	0/0	0.5	0
Total	%	50	20
Waste managed by community in 2005:			
Inorganic recovered	0/0	3	5
Organic composted	0/0	1	40
Burned	0/0	5	20
Discharged into river channels	0/0	1	5
Hide anywhere	0/0	40	10
Total	0/0	50	80

Table 2.1 Waste Management Condition in Indonesia in 2005

Source: Damanhuri, 2008

¹ The % unit used in this report is to the weight of wet waste. Usually, the shown data by waste manager in Indonesia is based on % to wet volumewhich will have different density.

Figure 2.8 shows that of the 50% of solid waste collected and transported in the urban areas, 45% were processed in open dump sites, and the rest were recovered, composted, burned, and processed at the sanitary landfills. In addition, from the total of 20% of solid waste collected and transported in rural areas, 10% were burned at the polling stations and landfills and some were covered at open dump sites, composted, etc.,



Figure 2.8 Solid waste processing in urban and rural in Indonesia in 2005

Untransported solid waste is self-managed by the community. Figure 2.9 shows the solid waste management activity by urban and rural community.



Figure 2.9 Solid waste processing that is managed by community in 2005

The system of domestic solid waste management in Indonesia largely depends on the existence of landfills. Landfills are becoming one of the important issues in handling solid waste due to limited number of landfills in Indonesia, which are correctly maintained. Most of the solid waste transported to the landfill is processed through open dumping, and it was estimated that only 10% is processed through a better system, such as controlled landfill. There is only a little protection or monitoring of ground water, so that alkali from waste can contaminate groundwater or the river. In addition, landfill foundations are usually rocky, gravel, or swamp areas, which are very sensitive to water pollution (Damanhuri, 2008). Other problems are, the refusal of community/society to change their land into landfills.

The main reason that open dumping continues to be applied in Indonesia is due to the limited operational budget. It is very difficult to cover areas with a layer of soil and compact the waste layer by layer with such limited operational budgets. Open dumping causes many problems such as smoke, odor and flies. In many cases, industrial waste and hospital pathogens waste are also found in the landfill, although in 1995 the GoI set the 3B criteria for waste landfills. Because of the mixing of the waste from various different criterias, the danger posed by landfill is growing.

Another serious problem solid waste management using landfills is, that landfills are still being used when they are already "full". Attention is only risen, when the landfill began to fall or in case of operation interferences.

2.4 Reduce, Reuse, Recycle (3R) Condition

In general, Solid Waste Management (SWM) in Indonesia is very dependent on the presence of landfills/ TPA. Community treatment centers (3R – reduce, reuse, recycle) were formed as a solution to reduce the amount of solid waste. Conditions of reduction, reuse and recycling in 2005 are described in Figure 2.8, Figure 2.9, and Table 2.1_Through the method of 3R, a number of big cities such as Jakarta, Bandung, Surabaya, Medan, Semarang and Yogyakarta began to develop a mid-level processing by composting and recycling anorganic waste to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed at the landfill. Mid-level processing was built as an attempt to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed to the landfill. Until now, there are only a few mid-level processings, which are professionally managed in Indonesia. One problem was the high price of compost produced compared to the price of inorganic fertilizer, approximately Rp 300 - 400/kg. Indonesia's anorganic waste is usually recycled by a scavenger. From the economic aspect of this sector, it provides significant economical benefit.

Waste compositions are a very determining factor in composting and recycling. There are two main waste compositions, namely wet waste or organic waste (food waste, etc) that could be composted and dry waste or anorganic waste (plastic, paper, glass, etc.) that could be recycled. It should be noted that some of the waste components in Indonesia, such as newspapers, used book/magazines, used clothes, and used electronic components are not treated as solid waste and disposed to the trashcan. These

components are usually collected by the informal sector such as a junkman or a scavenger and could be sold to the waste trader/ junk storage.

Other important aspects of domestic solid waste management are recycling and the role of the informal sector. In Indonesia, there are two main recycle flows. First, the collectors (informal sector), who collect recycleable material from the generators of the solid waste. Second, these collected materials are separated and recycled by the municipality after the MSW collection. The describes cycle involves housewives, cleaning service, and scavangers.

In developing countries, the level of recycling of anorganic waste is high enough to have a positive impact on the economy of the community. Although the methods employed for sorting and separating solid waste in these countries are still considered inappropriate, the existing methods do not only provide an income stream to hundreds of thousand of people involved in the informal sector, but also ensure that a far greater amount of solid waste is recycled.

2.5 Policies and Laws

Waste management is implemented to improve public health, prevent environmental pollution, and protect clean water resources as stated in Act No. 32/2009 on Environmental Management. Waste management is specifically regulated in Act No. 18/2008 of Waste Management. Prior to the issue of Act No.18/2008, the Government Regulation (PP) No.16/2005 has determined the protection of water resources due to pollution from landfill as one of the subjects to focus on. PP No. 16/2005 is a regulation under the Law of Water Resources (Act No.7/2004).

Act No.18/2008 of Waste Management outlined that solid waste management has to support the (a) reduction and (b) waste handling approach. Solid waste reduction is based on the principle of (a) restriction (reduce), reuse, and recycle of waste, which is known as the 3R approach. It can be defined as upstream waste management starting from the effort of how to generate only a minimum amount of waste (reduce) in daily activities. An example for such effort is the change of industrial employment patterns and product packaging, which aims to produce and use environment-friendly packaging with as little volume as possible so that its waste can easily be recycled and handled further. They also outlined to not remain hands-off on the packaging, namely in the form of extended producers responsibility (EPR). To achieve a full implementatin of the 3R approach the involvement of all stakeholders is needed. Solid Waste or the remaining residue has to be further treated professionally through placement, collection, removal, transportation and processing. Residues of these activities must be removed from the environment safely. Therefore, Act No. 18/2008 outlined that within the first 5 years after its issuing, open dumping has to be replaced by a better method, such as controlled landfill and sanitary landfill. Furthermore, the law emphasized the strengthening of institutional capability and improvement of stakeholders' relation to work together as partners in managing and improving investment source.

Government's eagerness to promote the 3R approach has significantly advanced in Regulation 21/PRT/M/2006 of the Minister of Public Works. The regulation focuses on 3R as the national strategy and outlines that until 2014, solid waste should be reduced up to $20\%^2$. Targets of the national strategy on waste management sector are as follows:

- 1. Support the achievement of service level of solid waste up to 60% in 2010.
- 2. Support the reduction of solid waste through 3R method up to 20% in 2014.
- 3. Improve the quality of landfill:
 - Controlled Landfill (CLF) for small and medium-sized cities.
 - Sanitary Landfill (SLF) for large and metropolitan cities.
 - Termination of Open Dumping.
- 4. Support implementation at institutional level and regional cooperation.

Currently, the implementation of domestic solid waste management at the regional level is conducted by local government regulation, which affects the organization of domestic solid waste management, retribution fee and transportation cost of solid waste from the source to the landfill. The biggest obstacle lies in the lack of legal power that causes weak implementation of these regulations.

2.6 Future Challenges of Waste Management

Population and economic growth will increase the amount of solid waste volume. The projections of generated domestic solid waste for urban and rural areas from 2005 to 2030 are shown in Figure 2-1. This increasing amount of waste volume will become a serious environmental problem if not well handled. Thus, a good municipal waste management is a must.

Domestic Waste Management (Municipal Solid Waste/MSW) in Indonesia still faces many problems, such as:

- The majority of cities does not have a consistent plan (master plan) in handling solid waste because the Domestic Solid Waste Management still has not formalized;
- Domestic Waste Management has not been given sufficient priority in local government regulation, which limits the budget for solid waste management;
- Facilities for collecting, transportating, and storing the solid waste are limited;
- Most of the landfills (TPA) are open dump sites, which cause water pollution, air pollution, and odor.

 $^{^2}$ The unit used is % wet volume. The use of this unit needs careful interpretation such as in claiming the success of recycle efforts. Example: 1 empty plastic bottle truck has an equivalent volume with 1 wet waste truck, but possess different weight.

To solve the mentioned problems, (regency) district/city government as the head of waste management in the (regency) district/city level should increase their waste management revitalitation program. This includes the perfection of waste management institutions, related laws, waste management technical issues, supporting infrastructure, financing and investment alternatives, along with increasing the society's awareness, culture, and knowledge on better waste management.

In addition, two main policies had at least to be implemented for future waste management. The first policy states, that domestic solid waste should be reduced (reduce) as much as possible, used again (reuse), and recycled (recycle) (3R) before transported to the landfill. The second policy outlined, that domestic waste management should involve public participation. These two policies are used as the basic principles of solid waste management as described in the laws of solid waste. Meanwhile, the active participation of community in the 3R solid waste program starts from the household level by changing the habit of society to be cleaner and healthier. Industrial participation will be achieved by implemented EPR (Extended Producer Responsibility) as the principle for waste producers and hazardous waste importers.

Future waste management must start to calculate waste conversion to energy resources. In addition, waste management must be integrated in climate change mitigation activities thus creating a co-benefit. Creating a more evironmentally friendly regional development includes focused programs or increased budget, both a challenge for every (regency) district/city.

MITIGATION POTENTIAL IN WASTE SECTOR

ł

3.1 Calculation Method

Greenhouse gases from the waste sector are generally emitted in the form of methane (CH₄), produced from landfill and Carbondioxide (CO₂) generated from open burning. Emissions from open burning are more difficult to control than emission from landfills. In addition, the burning and recycling of paper and plastic causes nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions, which equal the 310fold of the impact of the same amount of CO₂.

Based on the descriptions in chapter 2, in Indonesia waste is mostly composted, burned, thrown into rivers, covered, disposed in landfills, etc. The amount of greenhouse gases produced varies depending, which of the mentioned methods is applied. For open burning and natural decomposition, the proportion of waste that can be broken down biologically in Indonesia is higher than in other countries. In the combustion process an aerobic reaction occurs, which produces CO_2 without the release of greenhouse gases into the air. CH_4 emissions from landfills are the result of anaerobic decomposition of organic components in waste. Waste in landfills decomposes slowly and decomposition can last a few decades.

Prior to the development of mitigation strategies for the waste sector, CH_4 emissions generated from the *waste* need to be calculated. Basically, emissions from landfills are calculated using the IPCC First Order Decay (FOD) model (IPCC, 2006) with the basic equation for estimating CH_4 emissions are as follows:

$$CH_4$$
 year t (Gg/year) = $\sum_{x} [A \bullet k \bullet MSW_{(t)}(x) \bullet MSW_{(F)}(x) \bullet Lo(x)) \bullet e^{-k(t-x)}]$

Where

CH ₄	= CH_4 generated in year t, $Gg/year$
t	= year of inventory
X	= years for which input data should be added
А	= $(1-e^{-k})/k$; normalisation factor which corrects the summation
MSW _T (x)	= Total municipal solid waste (MSW) generated in year x (Gg/yr)
MSW _F (x)	= Fraction of MSW disposed at SWDS in year x
Lo(x)	= Methane generation potential (Gg CH_4/Gg waste)

The rate of CH_4 emissions from landfills is very specific to certain areas, because its formation depends on the type of waste disposed of, elements of humidity, age of the waste and local climatic conditions. So for this report local research data were used as found in Table 3.1.
	Activity	Emission	Factor	Note		
1.	Waste Transportation Source: Alisan Smith et al, 2001: Waste management options and climate change, AEA Techno-Environment	0.71 kg $\rm CO_2/km$		$0.71 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{km}$		The average trip to the landfill = 50 km per 2.5 ton of waste
2.	Waste Degradation in Landfill (Calculated based on waste condition in	$75 \text{ kg CH}_4/\text{ton of waste}$:	Emission of good		
	Indonesia: water content, organic carbon level, etc.)	$210 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{ton of waste}$		sanitary landfill can be captured until 90%.		
2	Waste Combustion	Paper & Organic	$0.05 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O}/\text{ton}$	$N_2O = 310 CO_2 and CH_4$		
э.		Plastic	$2237 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{ton}$	-22 CO_2 , later reffered to as CO_2 eq.		
		1 motie	$0.05 \text{ N}_2\text{O}/\text{ton}$			
	Activity	Emission	Note			
4.	Composting	$670 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{ton of wast}$				
		Paper and Organic	$0.05 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O}/\text{ton}$	NO = 210 CO and CU		
5.	Recycle		$2237 \text{ kg CO}_2/\text{ton}$	$R_2O = 510 CO_2$ and CR_4 = 22 CO ₂ , later reffered		
		Plastic	$0.05 \text{ N}_2 \text{O}/\text{ton}$	to as CO_2^2 eq.		
6.	Other waste management	Waste dumped anywhere and thrown into the river 750 kg CO2/ton of waste				

Source: Damanhuri, 2008

To calculate the cost of mitigation, a unit price has to be assumed for operating and waste management unit maintenance as shown in Table 3.2. Emission reduction means the difference between the GHG emissions generated under the BAU (Business as usual) scenario and a specific GHG emission reduction scenario. GHG emissions are presented in units of CO_2 equivalent (CO2 eq). The formulas for calculating the emission reduction in CO2 eq are as follows:

The calculation formula of GHG Emissions Reduction (in CO_2 eq): Emissions Reduction (in CO_2 eq) = BAU emission – ERS emission

BAU = Business as Usual ERS = Emission Reduction Scenario

Activity	Operating and maintenance cost per Ton Waste				
	(Indonesia Rupiah)	(Approx. in USD Dollar)			
1. Transportation	50,000.00 - 60,000.00	5 – 6			
2. Sanitary Landfill	60,000.00 - 100,000.00	6 - 10			
3. Open Dumping	10,000.00 - 20,000.00	1 – 2			
4. Controlled Landfill	30,000.00 - 50,000.00	3 – 5			
5. Composting	15,000.00 - 20,000.00	1.5 - 2			

Table 3.2 Cost for Each Activity of Waste Management

Source: Damanhuri, 2008

The development of mitigation strategies of greenhouse gas emissions can take place after the process of identifying the amount of emissions and its source is completed. In general, mitigation of greenhouse gas emission can be achieved easiest in a place where the solid waste is accumulated (collected) in high volume and under anaerobic condition. For solid waste, landfill is the source of the most significant greenhouse gas release. In addition, GHG emissions are also produced from the transportation of solid waste to the landfill, plastic and paper combustion, as well as composting.

In 2015, referring to the MDG's target, 80% of solid waste in urban areas and 50% in rural areas should be transported to the landfill. This can only be achieved via a realistic management plan, which has to be implemented in the future.

Mitigation costs are calculated based on investment costs and operational/maintenance costs. The interest rate used is 12%/ year. ACERS (Emissions Reduction Scenario Abatement Costs) are calculated based on (Situmeang, 2009):

 $ACERS = \frac{[NPV (Total Cost of BAU) - NPV (Total Costs of ERS)]}{[NPV (Total Emission of BAU) - NPV (Total Emission ERS)]}$ $\frac{[NPV (Total Cost of BAU) - NPV (Total Costs of ERS)]}{[NPV (Total Emission of BAU) - NPV (Total Emission ERS)]}$

ACERS= Abatement Costs of the Emissions Reduction ScenarioNPV= Net Present ValueERS= Emission Reduction Scenario

3.2 Utilization of CH4 from Landfill into Electrical Energy

In developed countries, landfills have become a promising source of electrical energy through the utilization of CH_4 that is emitted. The potential to capture CH_4 and utilize it for electricity generation has never been exploited in Indonesia. However, research has been done within the CDM framework showing that the main obstacle to the implementation of these types of projects is the associated investment cost, which deems them not profitable.

The selling price of electricity from landfills is regulated by the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources Regulation No. 31 of 2009, specifically determining the 'Power Purchase Price by PT PLN (Persero) from Power Plants Using Small and Medium Scale Renewable Energy or Excess Power'. According to the regulation, PT PLN (Persero) must purchase electricity from power plants using small and medium scale renewable energy technologies with a capacity of up to 10 MW of electricity or excess power from state owned enterprises, local owned enterprises, private enterprises, cooperatives, and governmental organizations to strengthen the system of local electricity supply.

The power purchase price is determined as follows:

- a. USD 656/kWh x F, if interconnected on High Voltage;
- b. USD 1.004/kWh x F, if interconnected on Low Voltage.

F is the location-incentive factor in accordance with the purchase of electricity by PT PLN (Persero) with the following scale:

- a. Java and Bali region, F = 1;
- b. Sumatra and Sulawesi region, F = 1.2;
- c. Kalimantan, West Nusa Tenggara and East Nusa Tenggara region, F = 1.3;
- d. Maluku and Papua region, F = 1.5.

This Waste Sector Roadmap states that sanitary landfill can be supported by flaring facilities, while electricity generated from CH_4 can be sold to PT PLN. In order to make national scale estimates, the assumptions listed in Table 3.3 are made. These are largely based on a feasibility study conducted on the use of CH_4 for electrical energy generation in Makassar, Indonesia (World Bank, 2007).

Table 3.3 Landfill planing assumptions, flarring installation, and power generation

Parameter	Assumptions
Landfill:	
1 unit of Landfill capacity	300 Gg/year
1 unit of Sanitary Landfill investment cost	4.000.000 USD
1 unit of Controlled Landfill investment cost	3.000.000 USD
1 unit of Open Dumping investment cost	2.000.000 USD
Flaring and Power:	
CH ₄ efficiency	50%
Electricity efficiency	99%
Generated Electricity (per Unit Sanitary Landfill)	1 MWh
Flaring and Electricity facilities investment cost	6.000.000 USD

POTENTIAL MITIGATION SCENARIO AND STRATEGIC ISSUES OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN WASTE SECTOR

4.1 Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Scenario of Waste Sector

Potential mitigation scenario projections of the waste sector were made based on Waste Management Act No. 18/2008. As stated in the Act, the efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the waste sector are to consist of LFG (landfill gas) recovery either from open dumping land which is to be converted to sanitary landfill, or from making new sanitary landfills. Efforts to terminate open dumping and build sanitary landfill sites with LFG recovery technology in its place are in agreement with the Act, which states that all open dumping sites should be closed by 2015. Other actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions are to consist of efforts to reduce solid waste at its source (household), TPS (Polling station), or TPA (-Landfill) using 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) techniques. The final processing of solid waste in urban and rural areas in Indonesia is to differ. The emphasis in urban areas will be on landfill technology (open dumping, controlled landfill, sanitary landfill), while rural areas will predominantly rely on composting technology. The 3R method can be applied in both urban and rural areas.

The greenhouse gas mitigation scenarios based on Act No. 18/2008, are as follows:

Urban Area:

1) Open Dumping scenario

This scenario is the closest description to the current situation, which is the use of open dumping as the final processing method of solid waste in urban areas.

2) Waste Reduction at the source scenario

This scenario applies waste reduction efforts at the source, such as conduct campaigns and capacity building aimed at the reduction of plastic, paper, packaging, etc.

3) 3R and Composting scenario

This scenario applies 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) in TPS and TPA, and also does composting.

4) Conversion to Sanitary Landfill without LFG installation scenario

This scenario converts open dumping to sanitary and controlled landfill without the use of CH_4 gas from landfill for electricity generation.

5) Conversion to Sanitary Landfill and the installation of LFG scenario

This scenario converts open dumping to sanitary landfills and makes use of CH_4 gas from landfill for electricity generation.

Rural Area:

1) Burned and dumped anywhere scenario

This scenario is the closest description to the current situation in rural areas, which consists of waste

being burned and dumped anywhere.

2) Waste reduction at the source scenario

This scenario applies reducing the amount of solid waste at the source.

3) 3R and Composting scenario

This scenario makes use of a combination of composting technology and 3R.

4.2 Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Scenario in Urban Area

The assumptions made for the projection of GHG mitigation scenarios in urban areas are as follows:

1) Business as Usual (BAU) or Open Dumping scenario

This is the projected BAU condition in accordance with population and economic growth. As explained in chapter 2, the open dumping scenario is the current situation in Indonesia. The assumptions made are the following:

- Transportation of solid waste by the government had a 50% service level in 2005. The level of service is expected to increase 1 2% per year from 2005 to 80% in 2020, and to 90% in 2030.
- Solid waste burning (combustion) in urban areas is collectively transported and is expected to increase from 0.5% in 2005 to 0.8% in 2020, and 0.9% in 2030. Self-managed solid waste burning on the other hand, is expected to decrease from 24% in 2005 to 4.8% in 2030.
- The proportion of solid waste generation that is self-managed by the community and dumped anywhere was 25% in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 5% in 2030. Solid waste dumped in rivers was 1% in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 0.2% in 2030.
- The proportion of solid waste that is openly dumped in urban areas was about 49.5% in 2005, and is expected to increase to 89.10% in 2030.
- 2) Waste Reduction at the Source Scenario
 - The assumptions made in relation to the transportation of solid waste are similar to those made for the BAU scenario: service levels were at 50% in 2005 and are expected to increase 1 2% per year from 2005 to 2020, reaching 80% in 2020 and 90% in 2030.
 - Solid waste generation of urban areas increased from 0.6 kg/ person/ day in 2005 to 1.1 kg/person/day in 2030. Increases in solid waste generation can be minimized by reducing domestic solid waste at its source so that solid waste generation per capita decreases by 20% compared to BAU. Reducing the amount of solid waste signifies a reduction of GHG emissions generated by its disposal.



Figure 4.1 Urban waste generation per capita in Source Reduction Scenario



Figure 4.2 Waste generation in Source Reduction Scenario compared with BAU

- 3) 3R and Composing scenario
 - The assumptions made in relation to the transportation of solid waste are similar to those made for the BAU scenario: service levels were at 50% in 2005 and are expected to increase 1 2% per year from 2005 to 2020, reaching 80% in 2020 and 90% in 2030.
 - The proportion of solid waste which is collectively transported (by government) for composting was 2.5% in the year 2005 and is expected to increase to 4.5% in 2030. The proportion of self-managed (by local community) composted solid waste on the other hand was 5% in 2005, and is expected to decrease to 1% in 2030.
 - Collectively transported recycled plastic waste is expected to increase from 2.5% in 2005 to 4% in 2020, reaching 4.5% in 2030. The proportion of self-managed recycled plastic waste was 5% in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 1% in 2030.
 - Collectively transported recycled paper waste is expected to increase from 2.5% in 2005 to 4.5% in 2030, while self-managed recycled paper waste is expected to decrease from 5% in 2005 to 1% in 2030.



Figure 4.3 Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in 3R and Composting Scenario in Urban areas



Figure 4.4 Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in 3R and Composting Scenario in Urban areas

- 4) Sanitary Landfill without LFG installation
 - The assumptions made in relation to the transportation of solid waste are similar to those made for the BAU scenario: service levels were at 50% in 2005 and are expected to increase 1 2% per year from 2005 to 2020, reaching 80% in 2020 and 90% in 2030.
 - The conversion of open dumping to sanitary landfill is expected to increase the proportion of solid waste that is brought to sanitary landfills from 0.5% in 2005 to 2.4% in 2010, reaching 56% in 2020 and 63% in 2030.
 - The proportion of waste processed in controlled landfill was 4% in 2005 and is expected to increase to 23.4% in 2020 and 26.1% in 2030.



Figure 4.5 Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in SL+CL Scenario in Urban areas



Figure 4.6 Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in SL+CL Scenario in Urban areas

- 5) Sanitary Landfill with LFG Installation Scenario
 - The assumptions made in relation to the transportation of solid waste are similar to those made for BAU scenario,: service level s were at 50% in 2005 and are expected to increase 1 2% per year from 2005 to 2020, reaching 80% in 2020 and 90% in 2030.
 - The conversion of open dumping to sanitary landfill is expected to increase the proportion of solid waste brought to sanitary landfills from 4.5% in 2005, to 79.2% in 2020 and 89.10% in 2030.
 - Sanitary landfills are equipped with LFG (Landfill Gas) capture technologies, so an estimate on the potential revenue for each kWh generated has been made.



Figure 4.7 Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in SL+LFG Scenario in Urban areas



Figure 4.8 Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in SL+LFG Scenario in Urban areas

4.3 Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Scenario in Rural Area

- 1) Open Dumping and Open Burning Everywhere Scenario
 - Solid waste burning (combustion) in urban areas that has been collectively transported is expected to increase from 12% in 2005 to 19.5% in 2030, while the proportion of self-managed solid waste burning (combustion) is expected to decrease from 40% in 2005 to 33.75% in 2030.
 - The proportion of self managed solid waste that is dumped anywhere was 28% in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 23.63% in 2030, while the proportion of solid waste that is dumped into rivers was 12% in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 10.13% in 2030.



Figure 4.9 Projection of % waste processing by Local Government in BAU Scenario in Rural

2) Source Reduction Scenario

- The assumptions made in relation to transportation are similar to those made for the burning and dumping anywhere scenario: solid waste burning (combustion) in urban areas which is collectively transported is expected to increase from 12% in 2005 to 19.5% in 2030. The proportion of self-managed solid waste burning (combustion) is expected to decrease from 40% in 2005 to 33.75% in 2030
- Rural waste generation per capita is expected to increase from 0.3 kg/capita/day in 2005 to 0.5 kg/capita/day in 2030.
- The increase of rural waste up to 0.5 kg/capita/day comes as a result of reduction efforts (including capacity building). Otherwise quanitites would reach 0.55 kg/capita/day as is the case in the BAU scenario.



Figure 4.10 Projection of % waste processing by Local Community in BAU Scenario in Rural



Figure 4.11 Projection of waste generation percapita in Source Reduction Scenario in Rural compared with BAU



Figure 4.12 Projection of waste generation in Source Reduction Scenario in Rural compared with BAU

3) 3R and Composting

- The assumptions made for transportiation are similar to those made in the burning and dumping anywhere scenario: solid waste burning (combustion) in urban areas which is collectively transported is expected to increase from 12% in 2005 to 19.5% in 2030. The proportion of self-managed solid waste burning (combustion) is expected to decrease from 40% in 2005 to 33.75% in 2030.
- The proportion of solid waste generation that is collectively transported for composting was 5.5% in the year 2005 and is expected to increase to 19.3% in 2030, while self-managed composted solid waste was 28% in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 10.5% in 2030.
- The proportion of collectively transported recycled plastic waste is expected to increase from 1% in 2005 to 3.5% in 2030, while self managed recycled plastic waste was 4% in 2005 and is expected to decrease to 1.5% in 2030.
- The proportion of collectively transported recycled paper waste is expected to increase from 1% in 2005 to 3.5% in 2030, while self managed recycled paper waste is expected to decrease from 4% in 2005 to 1.8% in 2030.

4.4 Calculation Result of GHGs Scenario for Mitigation on Waste Sector

Figure 4.13 shows the results of the calculation of GHG emissions from the waste sector. The largest proportion of emissions are generated by the BAU (open dumping) scenario, followed by the other scenarios. The reduction at the source scenario does not decrease GHG emissions significantly due to the limited campaign activities and capacity building that are included. Economic progress might trigger the society to continue to increase the amount of solid waste. Reduction at the source scenario can be successful if it is supported by policies and legislation that follow up with sanctions.

The SL + CL (conversion from open dumping to a Sanitary Landfill and Controlled Landfill) scenario results in higher GHG emissions than the 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) and composting scenario due to the fact that processing of solid waste in SL and CL increases the anaerobic process that is responsible for CH4 generation; nevertheless, its emissions are not as high as that of the open dumping scenario. The process of aerobically composting solid waste does not produce CH_4 , however 3R activities, such as processing and recycling of plastic, do produce emissions in the form of CO_2 from the combustion process associated with recycling. The SL + LFG scenario has the smallest proportion of emissions due to the flaring (combustion) of CH_4 into CO_2 and H_2O , and its use of CH_4 for electricity generation.



Figure 4.13 GHG emissions (in Gg CO2 eq) in urban areas for every scenario

Figure 4.14 shows GHG emissions produced by solid waste processing in rural areas. BAU scenario emissions are the highest, while emissions from the reduction at the source scenario and the 3R + composting scenario produces less GHG than BAU. In rural areas, composting is recommended for the following reasons: (1) the composition of solid waste in the rural areas is dominated by organic waste

suitable for composting, (2) composting activities in rural areas will grow rapidly because land is still widely available, (3) a market is available, as the main users of compost is the agricultural sector and plantation farmers, (4) compost can improve soil quality, (5) composting technology is relatively simple, so it is easy to carry out by villagers. The biggest obstacles to using organic fertiliziser are perceived problems associated with farmers' practice of using chemical fertilizers. Thus, it is necessary to make farmers aware of the detrimental effect that the use of chemical fertilizers have on the quality of the soil in the long run. Another problem is that organic fertilizer requires a relatively long time to be produced. This problem can be tackled by developing a special bacteria to speed up the associated process. Hence, the development of biotechnology related to composting should be developed in line with efforts to increase the uptake of of organic fertilizer use.



Figure 4.14 GHG emissions (in Gg CO2 eq) in rural areas for every scenario

Figure 4.15 and 4.16 shows GHG emission reductions from each scenario. Emission reduction is calculated by the following formula:

Emissions Reduction = BAU's Emissions- Scenarios' Emissions

As shown in Figure 4.15, the largest reduction of emissions scenario in urban area is SL + LFG. Figure 4.16 shows the reduction of GHG emissions from each scenario in the rural areas.



Figure 4.15 GHG emission reduction (in Gg CO_2 eq) in urban areas for every scenario



Figure 4.16 GHG emission reduction (in $Gg CO_2 eq$) in rural areas for every scenario

Figure 4.17 shows the cost of waste management. Figure 4.18 shows the cost of mitigation, namely a certain waste management costs reduced by BAU's scenario costs.



Figure 4.17 Waste Management cost in urban areas for every scenario



Figure 4.18 Mitigation cost (Scenario Cost - BAU Cost) in urban areas for every scenario



Figure 4.19 Waste Management cost in rural areas for every scenario



Figure 4.20 Mitigation cost (Scenario Cost - BAU Cost) in rural areas for every scenario

Table 4.1 Comparison Matrix of GHG Emission Mitigation Scenario from Waste Sector in Indonesia for Urban Areas.

Policies Required	(1) Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the waste sector which is comprehensive and reliable, accompanied by a systematic GHG reduction plan. (2) Apply infrastructure	development policies of source reduction regulation in solid waste, supported by applied technology research and development with an environmental perspective.	(1) Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the waste sector which is comprehensive and reliable, accompanied by a systematic GHG reduction plan. (2) Develop and implement	environmental policies that support the principle of 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) and composting in waste management. (3) Develop and establish a 3R and composting center in every city/regency in Indonesia.	(1) Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the waste sector, which is comprehensive and reliable, accompanied by a systematic GHG reduction plan. (2) Apply infrastructure development policies of conversion of and dimension to conjense and conversion and conversion and conversion and conversion of and dimension.	development ponces or conversion of open cumping to same on controlled methods supported by applied technology research and development with an environmental perspective. (3) Apply waste management in the TPA (final disposal) from open dumping to controlled landfills in small and medium-sized cities; and sanitary landfills in large and metropolitan cities.	(1) Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the waste sector, which is comprehensive	and reliable, accompanied by a systematic GHG reduction plan. (2) Apply intrastructure development policies of conversion from open dumping to sanitary landfill, and install LFG electricity generators, supported by applied technology research and development with an environmental perspective. (3) Waste management in the TPA from open dumping to sanitary landfills (4) Improved methods of waste gas management (landfill gas - LFG) through collection and combustion, as well as the application of energy to electricity
Emission Reduction Compared to BAU (%)	5.12%	11.30%	37.32%	35.58%	7.07%	4.74%	42.28%	43.46%
Abatement Cost (USD/t CO ₂)	7.61	2.90	1.14	1.57	33.34	43.84	9.35	9.33
Total Cost of Mitigation (billion USD)	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.33	0.96	1.57	1.49	2.27
Emission Reduction Accumulation (Mt CO2)	17.73	45.14	143.56	211.17	28.94	35.77	159.18	243.67
Period	2010 – 2020	2010 - 2030	2010 - 2020	2010 - 2030	2010 - 2020	2010 – 2030	2010 - 2020	2010 – 2030
Scenarios	Source Reduction		3R + Composting		SL + CL		SL + LFG	

Table 4.2 Comparison Matrix of GHGs Emission Mitigation Scenario from Waste Sector in Indonesia for Rural Areas.

Policies Required	(1) Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the waste sector which is comprehensive and reliable. accompanied by a systematic GHG reduction plan. (2) Apply infrastructure	development policies related to source reductions in the waste sector, supported by applied technology research and development with an environmental perspective, (3) Apply source reduction policy	(1) Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the waste sector, which is comprehensive and reliable, accompanied by a systematic GHG reduction plan. (2) Apply infrastructure development policies of 3R and compositing regulation, supported by applied technology	research and development with an environmental perspective. (3) Implement the 3R principle (reduce, reuse, recycle) in waste management. (4) Develop and establish a 3R and composting center in every city/regency in Indonesia.
Emission Reduction Compared to BAU	15.15%	20.02%	24.76%	22.41%
Abatement Cost (USD/ t CO2)	1.56	1.17	16.10	19.23
Total Cost of Mitigation (billion USD)	0.04	0.05	0.81	1.23
Emission Reduction Accumulation (Mt CO2)	27.81	43.66	50.40	64.14
Period	2010 - 2020	2010 – 2030	2010 – 2020	2010 – 2030
Scenarios	Source Reduction			3R + Composting

4.5 Calculation of Abatement Cost

Mitigation costs are calculated based on investment costs and operational/maintenance costs. The interest rate here is 12% / year. ACERS (Emissions Reduction Scenario Abatement Costs) are calculated based on (Situmeang, 2009):

ACERS - [NPV (Total Cost of BAU) - NPV (Total Costs of ERS)]
[NPV (Total Emission of BAU)-NPV (Total Emission ERS)]
[NPV (Total Cost of BAU)-NPV (Total Costs of ERS)]
[NPV (Total Emission of BAU)-NPV (Total Emission ERS)]
= Emissions Reduction Scenario Abatement Costs
= Net Present Value
= Emission Reduction Scenario

To calculate Abatement Costs, both Mitigation Costs and Emission Reductions arecalculated according to the NPV method; the results of the calculation are as follows:



Figure 4.21 Calculation of NPV for Emision Reductions (in tons CO2 eq) for each scenario in urban areas



Figure 4.22 Calculation of NPV for Emision Reductions (in tons CO2 eq) for each scenario in rural areas



Figure 4.23 Calculation of NPV for Mitigation Costs (in USD) for each scenario in urban areas



Figure 4.24 Calculation of NPV for Mitigation Costs (in USD) for each scenario in rural areas

Figure 4.25 and 4.26 show the abatement costs for the different scenarios in urban and rural areas respectively.



Figure 4.25 Abatement Costs for each scenario in urban areas (in USD/ton)



Figure 4.26 Abatement Costs for each scenario in rural areas (in USD/ton)

ICCSR - WASTE SECTOR

INTEGRATION OF MITIGATION POLICIES INTO WASTE SECTOR PLANNING

5.1 Alternative Formulation of Mitigation Policies based on the Funding difference

In Chapter 4 the degree of efficiency of each mitigation action for greenhouse gases from the waste sector has been presented. In solid waste management, however, detached actions auch as only 3Ror landfills are not sufficient. Waste management is an integrated activity of various mitigation actions and their implementation according to technical capacities and available funding. Therefore chapter 5 will present several alternativewaste management policies in order to determine the most efficient policy mix with the least abatment costs.

Against the background of operational needs of related sectors (according to Ministry of Public Works) and the stage of development in Indonesia, the criteria for the assessment of alternative policies are costs.

(maximum cost, optimistic, moderate, pessimistic, and minimum cost) .:

- Alternative 1 describes current practices in Indonesia (minimum funding), called BAU (Bussiness As Usual) means that open dumping remains the widespread practice until 2030
- 2) Alternative 2 is the most ideal alternative policy of achieving the target of Act No. 18/2008, which in the year 2015 the entire open dumping have been converted to sanitary/controlled landfill. This alternative is the maximum funding alternative, also called as the **law-based** alternative.
- 3) Alternative 3 is less ambitious than alternative 2, so that the funding needed is also lower as (so called **optimistic** alternative).
- 4) Alternative 4 is again less ambitious than policy 3 and also requires less funding (so called **moderate** alternative).
- 5) Alternative 5 is the least ambitious policy requiring the least funding (so called as **pessimistic** alternative).

Policy alternative 1 (BAU) does not imply waste reduction measures, whereas Alternatives 2 to 5 do suggest this in varying degrees and depending on the available funding. Alternative 2 with maximum financing achieves the largest waste reduction, followed by Alternative 3 (optimistic) and Alternative 4 (moderate). Alternative 5 (pessimistic) has the smallest impact on waste generation..

The next paragraph elaborates the different policy alternatives in more detail:

 Alternative 1 reflects current practices (BAU) and does not forsee a reduction of the volume of generated waste for the future. Nevertheless, this assumptions also implies increasing (financial) capacities of local governments and s a consequence increasing waste management capabilities. However, the practices of the waste management themselves are not projected to change, the "gather-transport-disposed" cycle remains the prevailing practice in this scenario, increasing coverage of transportation of waste deposits to landfills will have positive effects on the urban living space. The main technique of waste treatment in landfills will be covering of former open dumpings..

- 2) Policy Alternative 2 (supports the enforcement of Act No. 18/2008, therefore called law-based, or maximum funding) is a scenario that reflects the current volume of waste generation and future projections and focuces on waste management through (a) reduction of solid waste to the principle of 3R, and (b) the sound handling of solid waste from containing and transport till final disposal. One of the mandate of Act No.18/2008 is the conversion of Open Dumpings into Sanitary Landfills by 100% until 2014 and Policy Alternative 2 aims at enforcing this law through the systematic use of sanitary landfills.
- 3) Alternative 3 (optimistic funding assumption), is apolicy based on the results of the FGDs with the Ministry of Public Works on 18 November 2009, targeting at an at a gradual conversion of an average 30 Open Dumping per year to Sanitary Landfill and Controlled Landfills.
- 4) Policy alternative 4 (moderate funding assumption) is based on the results of the FGDs with the Ministry of Public Works on November 18, 2009 and targets at the conversion of sOpen Dumpings into Sanitary Landfills and the processing of solid waste in Controlled Landfills.
- 5) Alternative 5 (minimum or pessimistic funding assumption) is also based on the results of the FGDs with the Ministry of Public Works on 18 November 2009 and aims at the with conversion target from Open Dumping to Sanitary Landfill and solid waste-processing in Controlled Landfill, but with a lower coverage.

Table 5.1 through Table 5.10 show the assumptions used, the phases of the program and the targets of each Policy Alternative in an overview:

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used			
	• Transportation of waste was at 50% in 2005.			
1) Waste deposits transported to landfillsc	• The level of service increases by 2% every year, thus reaching in 2020.			
	• It is assumed that from 2020 onwards, the level of service increases by 1%, reaching 90% in 2030.			
	• 3R is a recommendation, not followed by adequate public policies of the Central and Local Government(i.e. 3R target).			
2)Waste reduction	• Urban waste generation increases from 0.6 kg/ person/ day in 2005 to 1.2 kg/ person/ day in 2030.			
	• Rural waste generation increases from 0.3 kg / person / day in 2005 to 0.55 kg / person / day in 2030.			

Table 5.1 Assumptions used in Alternative 1 (BAU)

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used					
3)Final Processing	 The amount of waste generations covered in Open Dumpings is at approximately 45% in 2005, and increases up to 76.50% in 2030. Conversion is achieved for Open Dumpings into Sanitary Landfills for 0.5% in 2005. It is assumed that this will increase only up to 3.2% in 2020 and 3.6% in 2030. 					
4)Other waste management activities	• Informal waste management practices such as combustion etc. continue with insignificant changes.					

Table 5.2 Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 1 (BAU)

	2005		20	2010		20	2030	
	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Aereas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas
Unit: % (percentage)			•	-	-	-		
Waste deposits transported to landfills	50	20	60	22.5	80	27.5	90	32.5
Waste managed collecti	vely:							
Plastic recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	0.45	2.4	0.83	3.6	0.98
Paper recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	0.45	2.4	0.83	3.6	0.98
Composting of organic substances	1	5.5	1.2	6.3	2.4	8	2.7	9.8
Burned at the TPS dan TPA	0.5	10	0.6	9.68	0	8.25	0	7.8
Covered at the Open Dumping	45	4	53.4	5.63	69.6	9.63	76.5	13
Covered with sanitary landfill + biogas captured	0.5	-	1.2	-	3.2	-	3.6	-
Self managed Waste:								
Plastic recycling	1.5	2.4	1.2	2.33	0.6	2.18	0.3	2.03
Paper recycling	1.5	4	1.2	3.88	0.6	3.63	0.3	3.38
Composting	1	40	0.8	38.8	0.4	36.3	0.2	33.8
Burned	5	20	4	19.38	2	18.13	1	16.88
Dumping in river	1	4	0.8	3.88	0.4	3.63	0.2	3.38
Dumping anywhere	40	9.6	32	9.3	16	8.7	8	8.1

Table 5.3 Assumptions used in alternative 2	(Law-Based, Maximum	funding)
---	---------------------	----------

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used
1) Waste deposits transported to landfills	• Transportation of 50% of total waste in 2005 .The level of service has increased by 2% per year in 2020 reaches 80% and 90% in 2030.
2)Waste reduction	 Waste reduction is taking place. Urban waste generation increases from 0.6 kg/ person/ day in 2005 to 1 kg/ person/ day in 2030. Rural waste generation increases from 0.3 kg / person / day in 2005 to 0.45 kg / person / day in 2030.
3)Final Processing	 The amount of solid waste generation covered in the Open Dumping is about 45% in 2005, and decreases to 0% in 2030. Open Dumping sites will be converted into Sanitary Landfills with a waste generation percentage of 0.5% in 2005 and increase to 56% in 2020 and 63% in 2030 respectively. Sanitary landfills are assumed to capture emissions by 90%.
4) Other waste management activities	 Percentage of the waste generations composted in urban area increases from 1% in 2005 to 6.4% in 2020 and 7.2% in 2030. Plastic recycling increases from 1.5% in 2005 to 4.80% in 2020 and 5.40% in 2030. Paper recycling increases from 2.4% in 2005 to 3.7% in 2020 and 4.6% in 2030. Burning of waste decreases from 0.5% in 2005 to 0% in 2020 and 0% in 2030.

Table 5.4 Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 2 (Law-Based, Maximum Funding)

	20	05	20	10	20	20	20.	30
	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas
Unit: % (percentag	ge)							
Waste deposits transported to landfills	50	20	60	30	80	50	90	70
Waste managed collectively:								
Plastic recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	1.2	4.8	2.5	5.4	4.2
Paper recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	1.2	4.8	2.5	5.4	4.2
Composting of organic substances	1	5.5	2.4	9	6.4	20	7.2	35
Burning at the TPS and TPA	0.5	10	0.6	12	0	12	0	9.1
Covered Open Dumping	45	4	48	6.6	0	13	0	17.5

	2005		2010		2020		2030	
	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas
g Conversion into sanitary landfill + biogas capture	0.5	-	2.4	-	56	-	63	-
Conversion intocontrolled landfill + biogas capture	0	-	3	-	8	-	9	-
Selfmanaged Waste	e:							
Plastic recycling	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.8	1.2	3	0.7	2.4
Paper recycling	1.5	4	1.6	4.9	1.2	4	0.8	2.4
Composting of organic substances	1	40	1.6	42	2	36.5	1.2	23.7
Burning	5	20	3.2	10.5	0.6	2.5	0.3	0
Dumping in the river	1	4	0.8	2.8	0.2	1	0	0.3
Dumping anywhere	40	9.6	31.2	7	14.8	3	7	1.2

*) Reductions of CO_2 eq emissions express the difference between the emissions released by the BAU scenario (see Table 5) and Policy Alternative 2 (Maximum Funding)

Table 5.5	Assumptions	used in	alternative	3 (Op	timistic)

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used
1)Waste deposits transported to landfills	 Waste deposit transported to landfills / by 50% in 2005 The level of service increased 2% per year so in 2020 it reaches 80% and 90.1% in 2030.
2)Waste reduction	 Waste reduction is taking place. Urban waste generation increases from 0.6 kg/ person/ day in 2005 to 1.05 kg/ person/ day in 2030. Rural waste generations increase from 0.3 kg / person / day in 2005 to 0.48 kg / person / day in 2030.
3)Final Processing	 The amount of waste generations covered in the Open Dumping is about 45% in 2005, and decreases to 0% in 2030. Conversion is done for Open Dumping to Sanitary Landfill with a percentage of waste transported to Sanitary Landfills of 0.5% in 2005 to 44% in 2020 and 49.5% in 2030. In addition, conversion is also achieved from Open Dumping into Controlled Landfill from 0% in 2005 to 20% in 2020 and 22.5% in 2030. It is assumed here that Sanitary Landfills and Controlled Landfills are used to capture emissions by 75%. This optimistic scenario involves the FGD results on November 18, 2009 with the Ministry of Public Works(target of conversion of 30 Open Dumping per year into Sanitary Landfills).

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used						
	• Percentage of waste generation composted increases from 1% in 2005 to 6.4% in 2020 and 7.2% in 2030.						
4)Other waste management activities	• Plastic recycling increases from 1.5% in 2005 to 4.8% in 2020 and 5.4% in 2030.						
	• Paper recycling increases from 1.5% in 2005 to 4.8% in 2020 and 5.4%. in 2030						
	• Burning of waste decreases from 0.5% in 2005 to 0% in 2030.						

Table 5.6 Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 3 (Optimistic Funding)

	2005		20	2010		20	2030		
	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	Urban Areas	Rural Areas	
Unit: % (percenta	ge)	<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		
Waste deposits transported to landfills	50	20	60	30	80	50	70	45	
Waste managed c	ollectively:								
Plastic recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	1.2	4.8	2.5	5.4	4.2	
Paper recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	1.2	4.8	2.5	5.4	4.2	
Composted organic	1	5.5	2.4	9	6.4	20	7.2	31.5	
Burned at the TPS dan TPA	0.5	10	0.6	12	0	12	0	9.1	
Covered at the Open Dumping	45	4	48	6.6	0	13	0	21	
Covered with sanitary landfill + biogas captured	0.5	-	1.8	-	44	-	49.5	-	
Covered with controlled landfill + biogas captured	0	-	3	-	20	-	22.5	-	
Waste is self mana	aged:								
Plastic recycling	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.8	1.2	3	0.7	2.4	
Paper recycling	1.5	4	1.6	4.9	1.2	4	0.7	2.4	
Composted organic	1	40	1.6	42	1.2	36.5	0.9	23.7	
Burned	5	20	3.2	10.5	1.4	2.5	0.7	0	
Dumped in the river	1	4	0.8	2.8	0.2	1	0	0.3	
Dumped anywhere	40	9.6	31.2	7	14.8	3	7	1.2	

*) Reductions of CO_2 eq emissions express the difference between the emissions released by the BAU scenario (see Table 5) and Policy Alternative 3 (Optimistic Funding)

Table 5.7 Assumptions used in Policy Alternative 4 (Moderate funding)

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used
1) Waste deposits transported to landfills	 Transportation of waste to landfills has reached 50% in 2005 has a 50% service level. The level of service increased by 2% each year and thus reaches 80% in 2020 and 90% in 2030.
2)Waste reduction	 Waste reduction is taking place. Urban waste generation increases from 0.6 kg/ person/ day in 2005 to 1.1 kg/ person/ day in 2030. Rural waste generations increase from 0.3 kg / person / day in 2005 to 0.5 kg / person / day in 2030.
3)Final Processing	 The amount of waste deposits covered in Open Dumpings is at 45% in 2005, and is projected to decreas to 18% in 2020 and to 0% in 2030. Conversion is achieved for Open Dumpings into Sanitary Landfill for 0.5% of waste deposits taken to Sanitary Landfills in 2005, 19.39% in 2020 and 28.8% in 2030. In addition, waste deposits processed in Controlled Landfills reach 30.4% in 2020 and 45.9% in 2030. it is assumed here that Sanitary Landfills and Controlled Landfills are used to capture emissions by 50%. This alternative 4 (Moderate Funding) accomodates the FGD results on November 18, 2009 with the Ministry of of Public Works.
4)Other waste management activities	 Percentage of waste deposits composted increase from 1% in 2005 to 5.6% in 2020 and 7% in 2030. Plastic recycling increase from 1.5% in 2005 to 3.2% in 2020 and 4.5% in 2030. Paper recycling increases from 1.5% in 2005, in 2020 reaches 3.2% and in 2030 reaches 4.5%. Burning of waste decreases from 0.5% in 2005 to 0% in 2030.

Table 5.8 Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 4 (Moderate Funding)

	2005		2010		2020		2030	
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
	Areas							
Unit: % (percentage)								
Waste deposits transported to landfills	50	20	60	25	80	35	90	45
Waste managed collectiv	ely:							
Plastic recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	0.5	3.2	1.75	4.5	2.7
Paper recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	0.5	3.2	1.75	4.5	2.7
Composting of organic material	1	5.5	2.4	7.5	5.6	12.3	6.3	18
	20	05	20	10	20	20	20	30
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
	Areas							
Burning at the TPS and TPA	0.5	10	0.6	10	0	8.4	0	5.85
Covered at Open Dumpings	45	4	40.5	6.5	18	10.85	0	15.75
Coversion into Sanitary Landfills + biogas capture	0.5	-	5.28	-	19.39	-	28.8	-
Conversion into Controlled Landfill + biogas capture	0	-	7.8	-	30.4	-	45.9	-
Self-managed waste:								
Plastic recycling	1.5	2.4	1.6	3	1.2	3.9	0.7	3.85
Paper recycling	1.5	4	1.6	3.75	1.2	3.9	0.7	4.4
Composting of organic substances	1	40	1.6	41.3	1.2	42.3	0.8	38.5
Burning	5	20	3.2	16.5	1.4	9.75	0.7	5.5
Dumping in the river	1	4	0.8	3	0.2	1.3	0	0.55
Dumpinganywhere	40	9.6	31.2	7.5	14.8	3.9	7.1	2.2

*) Reductions of CO_2 eq emissions express the difference between the emissions released by the BAU scenario (see Table 5) and Alternative 4 (Moderate Funding)

Table 5.9 Assumptions used in alternative 5 (Pessimistic funding	ıg)
--	-----

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used
1) Waste deposits transported to landfills	• Transportation of waste deposits to landfills covers 50% in 2005. The level of service increases by 2% each year and reaches 80% in 2020 and 90% in 2030.
2)Waste reduction	 Waste reduction is taking place. Urban waste generation increases from 0.6 kg/ person/ day in 2005 to 1.15 kg/ person/ day in 2030. Rural waste generation increases from 0.3 kg / person / day in 2005 to 0.53 kg / person / day in 2030.
3)Final Processing	 The amount of waste generation covered in Open Dumpings equals 45% of total waste volume in 2005, and decreases to 36.8% in 2020 and 32.4% in 2030. Conversion of Open Dumpings into Sanitary Landfill increases from 0% of waste generation in 2005 to 16.8% in 2020 and 23.4% in 2030. In addition, waste processing in Controlled Landfills will reach 12.8% in 2020 and 18.9% in 2030. Its is assumed here that anitary Landfills and Controlled Landfill are used to capture emissions by 40%. This alternative 5 (Pessimistic Funding) accommodates the FGD results on November 18, 2009 with the Ministry of Public Works.

Waste Management Component	Assumptions used
	• The percentage of waste composted increases from 1% in 2005 to 5.6% in 2020 and 6.3% in 2030.
4)Other waste management activities	• Plastic recycling increases from 1.5% in 2005 to 4% in 2020 and 4.5% in 2030.
	• Paper recycling increases from 1.5% in 2005, in 2020 reaches 4% and in 2030 reaches 4.5%.
	• Burning of waste decreases from 0.5% in 2005 to 0% in 2030.

Table 5.10 Assumptions recapitulation in Alternative 5 (Pessimistic Funding)

	20	05	20	10	20	20	20	30
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
	Aresa	Areas	Areas	Areas	Areas	Areas	Ares	Areas
Unit: % (percentage	:)							
Waste deposits transported to landfills	50	20	60	22.5	80	27.5	90	32.5
Waste managed coll	ectively:							
Plastic recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	0.45	4	1.1	4.5	1.63
Paper recycling	1.5	0.25	1.8	0.45	4	1.1	4.5	1.63
Composting of organic substances	1	5.5	2.4	6.3	5.6	9.35	6.3	11.05
Burningat the TPS and TPA	0.5	10	0.6	9.45	0	7.43	0	6.83
Covered at Open Dumpings	45	4	43.2	5.85	36.8	8.53	32.4	11.38
Conversion into Sanitary Landfills + biogas capture	0	-	6.6	-	16.8	-	23.4	-
Conversion into Controlled Landfills + biogas capture	0.5	-	3.6	-	12.8	-	18.9	-
Self-managed waste	:			1	1			
Plastic recycling	1.5	2.4	1.6	3.1	1	4.35	0.5	4.05
Paper recycling	1.5	4	1.6	3.88	0.8	4.35	0.7	4.05
Composting of organic substances	1	40	1.6	41.1	1.6	43.5	0.8	43.9
Burning	5	20	3.2	18.6	1.6	14.5	0.8	12.15
Dumping in the river	1	4	0.8	3.1	0.2	1.45	0	0.68
Dumping anywhere	40	9.6	31.2	7.75	14.8	4.35	7.2	2.7

*)Reduction of CO_2 eq emissions expressed the difference between the emissions released by the BAU scenario (see Table 5) with Alternative 5 (Pessimistic Funding)

The calculation of the results of each scenario above is presented in Figure 5.1, 5.2. Figure 5.3 visualizeds the trend of CO_2 emissions, emission reductions, and mitigation costs compared to the Business As Usual Scenario (BAU).



Figure 5.1 GHG emissions (in tons CO₂ eq) for each alternative compared to Alternative 1 (BAU)







Figure 5.3 Mitigations cost for each scenario compared to Alternative 1 (BAU)

Table 5.11 Mitigation Action Matrix of Alternative Policies (based on the difference in the amounts of funding)

Scenarios	Period	Emission Reduction Accumulation (Mt CO2)	Total Cost of Mitigation (million USD)	Abatement Cost (USD/t CO ₂)	Emission Reduction Compared to BAU (%)	Policies Required
Law-Based	2010 - 2020 2010 - 2030	113.67 412.06	3,721 5,130	107.20 49.27	19,04% 26,74%	
Optimistic	2010 – 2020 2010 – 2030	91.54	4,117.56 5,554.50	166.98	15.34%	(1) Development of policies and technical concepts to implement Act No. 18 of 2008 for solid waste management, (2) Inventory study of GHG emissions from the waste sector, with the highest degree of detail and accuracy possible, accompanied by a systematic GHG emissions reduction plan, (3) Application of
Moderate	2010 – 2020 2010 – 2030	50,90 236.20	3,169.98 4,407.16	190.12 74.08	8,53% 15.33%	environmentally sound infrastructure development policies for the waste sector, supported by research and applied technology. (4) Implementation of environmentally sound policies for the principle of 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) in waste management, (5) Reduction waste (reduce) from the source to the greatest extent possible, reuse of substances (reuse) and recycling (recycle) (3R) before transporting to the landfill (6) Improved
Pessimistic	2010 – 2020 2010 – 2030	28.30	2,770.80 4,057.06	333.63	4.74 10.36	collection and combustion or through application of energy recovery systems. (6) Development of 3R TPST in every city / regency in Indonesia.

5.2 Strategic Issues of Climate Change in Waste Sector

Waste management and waste management related activities in Indonesia fall under governmental regulation of Act No.18 from the year2008. Referring to this regulation, waste management activities are based on public service by the local government, which has set the 3R principle (Reduce, Reuse, and Recycle) as its target and clearly supports the EPR principle (Extended Producer Responsibility).

Another strategic decision taken by this new regulation is the prohibition of Open Dumpings and the responsibility for local governments to convert these into Controlled Landfills (CLF) in small and medium-sized cities and into Sanitary Landfills (SLF) in cities and metropolitans until the year 2015.

A financial strategy for waste management has to take into account the fact that the allocation of government funds for domestic waste management is still low (<3%). In the future, it is expected that waste management in Indonesia will be based on self-funding or private initiatives, such as local sanitation companies or Public Service Agencies. Government fees for domestic waste management and annual budget allocatins from central and local governments influence such an financial strategy.

From the various strategies that have been mentioned above, the most important strategy is the one dealing with social aspects and community participation. Without community involvement, waste management policies cannot be implemented. Significant impacts on the communities should be taken into account by any government program related to waste management.

In order to achieve GHG emissions reductions from the waste sector, different mitigation options are available, using different alternative policy approaches as described below:

- Inventory studies of GHGs from the waste sector, with the highest degree of detail and accuracy possible, accompanied by systematic recommendations for the reduction of GHG emissions.
- Environment-friendly infrastructure development policies in the waste sector, supported by research and of application of environmentally sound technologies
- Application of environmentally sound policies for the principle of 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) in waste management.
- Sustainable infrastructure development policies (under consideration of the three development pillars economic, social, and environmental aspects) for reduction of GHG emissions and increased carbon absorption.
- Conducting infrastructure development including aspects of capacity building, including human resources and institutional competence, while acknowledging the independence of local governments in the development of environmental infrastructure and encouraging the role of the private sector and communities.
- Development of waste management technologies that are environment-friendly and climate-friendly.

- Enforcement of the application of the EPR principle (Extended Producer Responsibility) for producers and importers of B3 waste.
- Development of technologies to improve the quality of landfills:
 - o Controlled Landfills (CLF) for small and medium-sized cities,
 - o Sanitary Landfills (SLF) for large and metropolitan cities
 - o Termination of Open Dumping

Policy strategies outlined above in the priority program related to climate change mitigation are divided into four main clusters:

- Data inventory and planning
- Regulation and policy
- Implementation
- Capacity building (strengthening local governments, private and public institutions)

5.2.1 Data Inventory and Planning Group

The program group of data inventory and planning shall be formed in the beginning of the five-year plan (2010-2014) to conduct GHG assessments and formulation mitigation options for the waste sector.

5.2.2 Regulationand Policy Group

Regulation and mitigation policies for solid waste are divided into four periods of program. For the period of 2010-2014, the strategies that will be applied to each island are as follow:

- Strengthening environmentally sound policy approaches for waste management and support standardization (step-by-step approach).
- Issuance of waste product regulations by the local government according to the NSPK of city/ district.
- Enforcementof waste-management-related regulations.
- Preparation of NSPM for the waste sector.
- Regulation, coaching, supervising, development of financial source, and investment patterns for waste management.

- Formulation of guidelines for waste control.
- Formulation of NSPKs for the waste sector.
- Creating provision of Bantek, Bimtek and assistance (SSK) of waste management.

The secondfive-year period (2015-2019) will launch two leading programs:

- Supervision and development of financial sources and investment patterns for waste management.
- Development of a monitoring system for waste products by the local governments according to the NSPK.

The main program in the regulation and policy group for the periods of 2020-2024 and 2025-2030 is the waste monitoring and evaluation system developed by the local governments according to the NSPK. Anther program in the period of 2020-2024 shall be drafting legislationsilfor public-private partnerships in waste management. For the final period covered by this Roadmap (2025-2030) the legislation for public-private partnerships shall be implemented.

5.2.3 Implementation Group

The implementation group also has several major programs for the 7 main islands in Indonesia (see Appendix A for division of districts/regency and different cities: matrix of mitigation policy recommendations for the waste sector). The points below are general policy recommendations for mitigation in the waste sector:

- Instlallment of waste development commissioner in regencies/cities.
- Waste management in landfills, conversion from Open Dumping into Controlled Landfills (CLF) in small and medium-sized cities; and Sanitary Landfills (SLF) in large and metropolitan cities.
- Reduction of domestic solid waste (reduce) from the sourcereuse and recycle (3R) to the greatest extent possible.
- Improvement of Landfill Gas (LFG) managementthrough collection and combustion or through the application of energy recovery systems.
- Procurement of waste development (bantek) replication on the district/city level.
- Provision of waste infrastructure on the district/city level in every island in Indonesia.
- Provision of CDM landfill projects in metropolitan cities.
- Provision of waste management facilities.

- Transportation of waste in cities/districts on every island in Indonesia.
- Transportation of waste in every island in Indonesia.
- Development of 3R in TPST in all cities/districts in Indonesia

5.2.4 Capacity Building Group

Community involvement and society mpowerment becomes an important aspect for realizing emission reductions from the waste sector in Indonesia. This has to be taken into account in planning and policy development. Suggestions how to achieve this are outlined below:

- Strengthening government and community partnerships.
- Strengthening local government institution in waste management.
- Monitoring and evaluation of waste management
- Development of financial sources and investment fornthe waste sector through cooperations between the government and international public entities and companies.

REFERENCES

- Interg IPCC, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K, 2007
- IPCC, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of WorkingGroup I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K, 2007
- 3. Damanhuri, Enri. 2008. *A Future Prospect Of Municipal Solid Waste Management in Indonesia*. The 5th Asian-Pacific Landfill Symposium in Sapporo, Japan.
- 4. Damanhuri Enri. 2005. *Some Principal Issues On Municipal Solid Waste Management In Indonesia*. Expert Meeting on Waste Management in Asia-Pacific Islands, Japan.
- 5. AEA Technology (1998) Options to reduce nitrous oxide emissions. Report to DG XI of the European Commission.
- 6. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1995) the Kyoto Protocol.

http://www.unfccc.int/resource/process/components/response/respkp.html

- 7. OECD 1999, 'OECD Environmental Data 1999', Chapter 7, Waste.
- APME (Association of Plastics Manufacturers in Europe) (1999) Information system on plastic consumption and waste management in Western Europe. European overview – 1997 data. Report by Taylor Nelson Sofres Consulting.
- 9. BPS (2004); Statistik Perumahan dan Permukiman, Modul SUSENAS 2004.
- 10. BPPT; Kajima & Tohoku Corp. (2006); Jelekong Landfill Gas Collection and Energy Recovery CDM Project
- 11. BPPT (2008) ; Studi Neraca Gas Rumah Kaca di Indonesia, Laporan Akhir
- Ditjen Cipta Karya -DPU (2007); Sasaran Pembangunan Nasional 2009 dan Strategi Pencapaian Millenium Development Goals (MDGs) 2015
- Hansen, J. & Sato, M., 2001. Trends of measure climate forcing agents. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98(26), pp. 14778-14783.
- Mikaloff Fletcher, S., Tans, P., Bruhwiler, L., Miller, J. & Heimann, M., 2004b. CH₄ sources estimated from atmospheric observations of CH₄ and its 13C/12C isotopic ratios: 2. Inverse modeling of CH₄ fluxes from geograpgical regions. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 18, GB4005, doi:10.1029/

2004GB002224.

- NOAA, 2005. Radiative climate forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: the NOAA annual greenhouse gas index (aggi). NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, R/GMD, 325 Broadway, Boulder, USA. <u>http://www.cmdl.noaa.gov/aggi/</u>
- 16. World Bank, Feasibility Study of Makassar Gas Project, (November 16, 2007)

APPENDIX

8 APPENDIX A

CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION STRATEGIES RECOMMENDATION FOR WASTE SECTOR

1. Sumatera

T	Mitigation Alternative Strategies Recommendation		Priority Programs for 1	Sumatera	
		2010 – 2014	2015 – 2019	2020 – 2024	2024 – 2029
	Data Inventory services Data Inventory services Carry out an invertery study of GHG from the solid wate sector, a more complete and perfet plan, wate sector, a more complete and perfet plan, supported by the development of applied to holds supported by the development of applied to holds supported by the development of applied to holds and performed point of the development of GHG (free thouse cares), result, is contrained and generation of environmental programmed supported by the development of applied to holds and environmental programmed supported by the development of applied to holds and environmental programmed with three ablancing development of applied to holds the free thouse cares and environment of applied to the free thouse cares and environment of applied to the provision of GHG (free thouse cares) and increase carbon of the provision of	ry and Planning on attion study and GHG reduction from the solid sector. ad Palicy ad Palicy berning through the NSPK of chyldistric. berning through through the NSPK of chyldistric. berning through through the NSPK of chyldistric. berning through	 Regulatory and Policy Regulatory and Policy Supervision and development of source of invasce and invastment pattern in water management. Monitoring water policy of control of the source of	 Regulatory and Policy Drafting gladion related to public-private partnerships in water management and policy policy and policy policy and policy policy of the policy policy of the policy policy of the policy policy	 Regulatory and Policy Waste monitoring and evaluation of product evelopment according to the KSK. Vasta monitoring and evaluation of product acceleration of law implementation of product acceleration of law implementation in a dividual gravity indexing law implementation in the dimension of law implementation of law implementatinguttue of law implementati

2. Java, Madura, Bali

	Scientific Base	Mitication Alternative Strategies Recommendation	-	Priority Programs tor Java, Mad	lura, and Bali		_
	Inventorization of GHG		2010-2014	2015 - 2019	2020 - 2024	2024 – 2029	_
			Data Inventory and Planning • Inventory and Planning • Inventory and Policy waste sector. Regulatory and Policy • Strengthening, environmental policy, approach to waste		Regulatory and Policy • Drafing Bigistion related to	Regulatory and Policy • Waste monitoring and realiariton of product development setting by the local product development setting to the SPSK. • Evaluation of alwin professionan related to public private partnersibility	
		 Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the solid waste sector, a more complete and 	 management and standardsholo (stepwise approach). Issuance of waste product development setting by the local government according to the NSPK of city/district. Settlement of waste regulation 	Regulatory and Policy Supervision and development of source of finance and investment pattern in waste 	public-private partnerships in waste management • Waste monitoring and evaluation of product development setting by the local	waste management. Implementation of Program	
		perfect plan, accompanied by a systematic reduction in GHG. Applying the environment-friendly infrastructure development policy in the	 Preparation in System in the wasker line. Setting, coaching, supervising, developing finance source, and investing pattern in wasker management. Creating provision of guideline for waske control. 	 management Monitoring waste product development setting by the local government according to the NSPK. 	government according to the NSPK. Implementation of Program	 Increase of waste management in landfill, from open dumping to become controlled landfill in small and medium- sized cifes; and sanitary landfill in large 	
		waste sector which is supported by the development of applied technology research and environmental nerson-rtive	 Creating INSPERTING WASHE INCOME Creating provision of Bantek, Bimtek and assistance (SSK) of waste management 	Implementation of Program Improvement of waste management in	 Improvement of waste management in landfill, from open dumping to become controlled landfill in small and medium- 	 and metropolitan cities by 50% Reduction of domestic solid waste (reduce) from the source as much as 	
		Develop the application of environmental policy for the principe of 3R (reduce, reuse, policy for the principe of 3R (reduce).	Implementation of Program	landfill, from open dumping burners controlled landfill in small and medium-	sized cities; and sanitary landfill in large and metropolitan cities by 300 more	possible, use again (reuse) and recycle (3R) before transported to the landfill by	
		Develope a sustainable infrastructure development (with three balancing development (with three balancing	 Implementation of waste development commissioner in the district/city. Waste management in landfill, from open dumping to 	Reduction of domestic solid waste Account of domestic solid waste Account of the solid waste	(reduce) from the source as much as possible, use again (reuse) and recycle	 Improve method of managing waste gas (landfill gas - LFG) through collection and 	
•	GHG emission from urban solid waste sector in the form of methane (CHA) emitted by Iandfill and CO2	development pilars, which are economic, social, and environment) to reduce emission of GHG (Green House Gases) and increase	become controlled landfill in small and medium-sized cities; and sanitary landfill in large and metropolitan cities hv 10%	(reduce) from the source as much as possible, use again (reuse) and recycle (3R) before transported to the landfill by	 (3K) before transported to the landhill by 40%. Improve method of managing waste gas 	combustion or through application of energy recovery system by 50%. Infrastructure maintenance and	
•	emitted by open combustion activity. Domestic waste level of 0.61 kg/person/day (TNA,	 carbon absorption Conducting infrastructure development of waste that is more concern to the assect 	 Reduction of domestic solid waste (reduce) from the source as much as possible, use again (reuse) and recycle 	 30%. Improve method of managing waste gas flandfill gas - 1 EG\ through collection and 	(landfill gas - LFG) through collection and combustion or through application of energy recovery system by 30%.	improvement of waste service in 65 districts/cities Building of CDM landfill facility in A large	
•	2009). Overall, only 21% from the total solid waste that	of capacity building, including human of capacity building, including human resources and institutional competence	 (3R) before transported to the landfill by 2.0%. Improve method of managing waste gas (landfill gas - LFG) 	combustion or through application of energy recovery system by 20%.	 Infrastructure maintenance and improvement of waste service in 42 	critics Procurement of waste management	
_	was transported to the landfill (Dept. of Public Works, 2009).	and the independence of local government in the development of environmental infeatructure also approximate originate and	through collection and combustion or through application of energy recovery system by 5%. • Procurement of waste development (bantek) replication	 Building of example project (3R, CDM, biogas) Drovision of wasta infractionation 65 	 districts/cities in Java, Madura, Bali. Building of CDM landfill facility in 15 	 facility by 548 unit Development of waste transportation in 	
		 Develop a waste management technology 	in the district/city. Provision of waste infrastructure in 42 districts/cities in Java. Ball. and Madura	 districts/cities. Building of waste management facility 	Provision of waste management facility by 498 unit	 Improve method of managing waste gas (landfill gas - LFG) through collection and 	
		that is environment-friendly and anticipative to climate change. • Develop the technology to improve the	Provision of CDM landfill facility in 12 metropolitan cities Provision of waste management facility of 411 unit	 by 453 unit Building of CDM landfill facility in 5 Metropolitan cities 	 Improvement of waste transportation in 42 districts/cities Jawa, Bali, and Madura 	combustion or through application of energy recovery system by 70%. • Evaluation, maintenance, and	
		quality of landfill: (4) Controlled Landfill (CLF) for small	 Transportation of waste in 42 districts/cities in Java, Ball, andMadura Transportation of waste in Java, Ball, and Madura by 	Transportation of waste in 65 districts/ cities	 Transportation of waste in Pulau Jawa, Madura, Bali by 60%. 	development of example project (3R, CDM, biogas)	
		and medium-sized cres, (5) Sanitary Landfill (SLF) for large and metronolism critics	 30%. Development of 3R in TPST in all city/district in Java, 	 Iransportation of waste in Java, Madura, Bali by 45%. 29 interreted landfill in all districts (cities 	 Evaluation, maintenance, and development of example project (3R, CDM hiomach 	 Iransportation of and Madura by 75%. Canacter building letremotection of the local 	
		 (6) Termination of Open Dumping. Develop the application of EPR (Extended 	Madura, Bali.	in Jawa, Bali, and Madura	Capacity building (strengthening of the local	 Copering your service in the local government, private, and society institutions) Awareness increase for all stakeholders 	
		Producer Responsibility) for producer and importer of hazardous waste	Capacity building (strengthening of the local government, private, and society institutions)		 government, private, and society institutions) Forming of condusive climate for the 	to the importance of increasing waste service.	
			Strengthening government and community partnerships. Strengthening local sovernment institution in waste		bussiness (private) world to actively participate in giving waste service,	 Development of condusive climate for business (private) world to participate 	
			management. Provintement of monitorine and evaluation of		either in transportation handling or landfill management.	in giving waste service, either in handling transporatation or in landfill	
_			performance of waste management development Procurement of facility for financing source development			 management. Increase in stakeholders' participation in the effort to reach waste development 	
			durunty and pattern on investment in the waste sector through cooperation of government and international public business			target.	

ICCSR - WASTE SECTOR

h

3. Kalimantan

Colomitic Daca			Definition Decimation for Val	internet and	
Scientific base	Mitigation Alternative Strategies Recommendation		Priority Programs for Na	Imanan	
Inventorization of GHG		2010 - 2014	2015 – 2019	2020 – 2024	2024 – 2029
 GHG emission from urban solid waste sector in the form of methane (CH4) emitted by andfill and CO2 emitted by open consultion activity. Domestic waste level of 0.61 kg/preso/day TIVA, 2009) Overall o on/21% from the total solid waste that was transported to the landfill (Dept. of Public Works, 2009) 	 Carry out an inventory study of GHG from the solid accompanie by a systematic relution in GHG. Earry out an inventory study of GHG from the solid accompanie by a systematic relution in GHG. Exploring the anone complexity in the wate accompanie by a systematic relation in GHG. Branch and Carry out and environmental policy for the propriet technology treasarch and environmental policy for the propriet accompany research and environmental accompany of GHG free hubes. Developtie a sustainable infrastructure development of anongenet. Developtie a sustainable infrastructure development of accounding industriation concern to the sapect of instancing development of anongenetic industriation accompagnetic and environmental infrastructure and environmental infrastructure and environmental or environmental infrastructure and environmental environmental	 Data Insentory and Planning. Data Insentory and Planning. Explatory and Policy. Inventorization study and GHG reduction from the solid waste sector. Regulatory and Policy. Stering Stream of an intransfration (steppised by proceed). Issume of naise provision (steppised by the setting provision of goldenie for lay district. Stething. canding provision of goldenie for waste feed. Creating provision of goldenie for waste regulation. Creating provision of goldenie for waste control. Creating provision of goldenie for waste regulation. Creating provision of goldenie for waste regulation. Creating provision of goldenie for waste control. Creating provision of goldenie for waste regulation. Waste management. Waste management. Waste management. Waste management. Waste management. Waste management. Waste management control (state) in run the district/chy. Waste management control (state) in run the district/chy. Waste management control of morely and meturopoltan (region and meturopoltan (region of waste heeldonneit agold (ron of morely) and regulation of metury provision of guideline physics. Provision of waste management (control for morely of all unit the district/chy. Waste and and meturopoltan (region and concelling as units) between an anglement to the state of the st	 Regulatory and Policy Supervision and Policy Supervision and development of source of immagement. Monitories and investment pattern in waste management. Monitories and investment pattern in waste the source of immagement in the source of management in a source law fill in large start of waste management in and indication of an and and median source source as much as possible, us again (evas) and explicitly approximation of median (and an anglog waste gas (and mage protect (BR CDM, before reterbord of managing waste gas (and interprove reterbord of managing mate gas (and interprove reterebord of managing mate gas (and interprove reterbord of management facility busids of waste management facility busids in the protect (BR CDM, busids in	 Regulatory and Policy Darling Legislation related to undependent english and sequences. Waste monitoring and evaluation of program. Waste monitoring and evaluation of program. Improvenent of rowignment according to the MSPK. Improvenent of vaste ransport of rowignment. Improvenent of vaste ransport of rowignment.<	 Megutuboy and Policy product development state molitoring and evaluation of product development state and the NSPK revelopment of law implementation watte management. Evaluation of law implementation watte management. Increase of waste management in landful from open dumping to be come corrolled information of domestical and medical information of domestical and medical information of domestical and medical from the source as much as period and medical (38) before transported to the jandful from the source as much as period and the optimation of energy and medical (38) before transported to the information of domestical and the optimation of metropolation of domestical (38) before transported to the information of domestical and the optimation of energy recovery system by 20%. Endothor of domestical and the optimation of energy recovery system by 20%. Endothor of domestical and the optimation of energy recovery system by 20%. Endothor of domestical and improvement of waste transportation of source and provide controls of the provide controls of the provide and provide collection and combustion of the interprotection and combustion of energy states and improvement of waste transportation of setticity states and improvement of energy recovery states and combustion of the improvide contrals for the local combustion of the improvidence of interasting waste states. Evaluation of the improvidence of and states and energy recovery states of in andfill manualing transportation of in andfill and giving waste service of and and the providence and and and and and and and

Sulawesi

4

Colomific Base			Delorator Decoremente	Sulawori	
Inventorization of GHG	Mitigation Alternative Strategies Recommendation	2010 - 2014	2015 – 2019	2020 - 2024	2024 – 2029
 GHG emission from urban solid wate sector in the form of methane (CH4) emitted by and G20 senate day open of 0.61. Kg/persord/day (TMA, 2005). DometL, own 2154. For the total solid wate that was transported to the landfill (Dept. of public Work, 2009). 	 Carry out an inventory study of more compared by asystematic eduction accompanied by asystematic eduction in disc. Applying the environment prepeted by the evelopment of applied technology research and evelopment (with three balancing development plans, recommending point) in frastructure development of a systematic process and instructure development of applied technology to the principle of 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) in water connections costs and environment plans, required and environment plans. Development of a systematic process and instructure development of environment plans, not the aspect of capacity that is and environment plans. There are an absorption infrastructure and the development of environment plans, not one aspect of capacity that is and environment plans, not one aspect of capacity that is and anticipation. Conducting that anticipation. Conducting and anticipation of environment in the development of environment and associety participation. Conducting and anticipation of environment in the edvelopment of environment in the edvelopment of environment plans. A curveo and matter and an environment of environment and acticipation. Conducting and anticipation of environment and active participation. Controlled Landing (LLF) for grantagement technology that is an environment of environment and active participation. Controlled Landing (LLF) for grantagement technology that is an environment of environment and environment and environment of environment and environment of environment and environment of environment and environment of environment and environm	 Data Inventory and Panning Internetion sturth of GHG reduction from the solid wate sector. Regulatory and Policy Strengthening environmental policy approach to wates management and standingation (sterwise approach). Strengthening environmental policy approach to the bolig operation. Strengthening environmental policy approach to the bolig operation. Strengthening environmental policy approach to the bolig operation. Sterning Lospin (and the bolig operation) (sterwise approach). Sterning Lospin (the bolig operation of approach). Sterning Lospin (the bolig operation) (sterwise approach). Sterning Lospin (the bolig operation) approach of a start start and strature control. Creating provision of Bantek Blimete and strature (sterwise provision of Bantek). Matter Conting provision of Bantek Blimete and strature (sterwise provision of Bantek). Implementation of waste management control. Creating provision of an analight from open dumping steller (sterwise provision of Bantek). Implementation of waste management control. Steel Clifts, and a satistry landfill in large and recording collection and control application of waste management control application of waste	 Regulatory and Policy Regulatory and Policy Supervision and development of source of innear and investment pattern in waste management. Monitoring waste product development to the NSPK. Monitoring waste management in unaverse and anticry landing to the NSPK. Implementation of Program Implementation of Program Implementation of Program Implementation of Amitry landing in algost anticry landing in algost anticry landing in a static of thes; and sanitary landing in a static of thes; and sanitary landing in a static of thes; and sanitary landing in a static of the static of managing waste production and composition of through application of building of sample project (3k, CDM, building of sample projec	 Regulatory and Policy legislation related to bublic private partnerships in waste monitoring add evaluation of product development sacronding by the local government according to the NSP. Implement according to the NSP.<td> Reputeory and Policy Water monitoring and evaluation of product development setting by the local government according to the local government of the local gover</td>	 Reputeory and Policy Water monitoring and evaluation of product development setting by the local government according to the local government of the local gover

5. Nusa Tenggara Islands

	2024 – 2029	 Regulatory and Policy modulic development, setting by the product development, setting by the boal sportment, according to the NSP. Evaluation of any unplementation waste management. Evaluation of any unplementation waste management. Inplementation of Program Inplementation of Program Revalution of an encloped and any stated cities and anitron and anitron and metropolitan offers by 50%. Revaluation of the source as much as interviewed in the source and metropolitan stated cities and anitron particular interviewed of metropolitan offer and metropolitan of the source and metropolitan cities and recrete stated cities and and combastion of through splicten on of combastion of through splicten on districts/cities. Inproverented of waste service in all districts/cities. Inproverented of waste service and districts/cities. Inproverented of waste service and districts/cities. Inproversities (private) word to participate and districts/cities. Transferoulders in recreasing waste sorvice times (private) word to participate increasing strete invasi- nate setting in the effort to reach waste development tage.
tenggara Islands	2020 - 2024	Regulatory and Policy Darling legislation related to publicymerate partnerships in waste munagement. • Waste monitoring and evaluation of product development activity the local government according to the kopk. Implementation of program implementation of program interpretent of waste management in landlil, fram open dumping become screet drate; and sanitary landlit by scient of the science as much a gostile, use again (reuse) and recide again before transported to the landfill by draft science and and and recide again freuse) and recide again freuse again (reuse) and recide again (reuse) and recide again. • Tergagaristilation (reuse) and recide again (reuse) and recide again (reuse) and recide again (reuse) and recide again (reuse) and recide again. • Tergagaristilation (reuse) and recide again (reuse) and recide (reuse) and recide (recide) and recide (reuse) and recide (recide) and recide (reuse) and recide (recide) and recide (recide) and recide (recide) and recide (recide) and recide (recide) and recide (recide) are recide (recide) and recide (recide) are recide (recide) and recide (recide) are re
Priority Programs for Nusa	2015 – 2019	 Regulatory and Policy Supervision and development of source of management. Monte and investment pattern in wate management. Monte and investment pattern in wate management. Monte and metropolation of Porgament accuding to the NSY. Improvement of wate management in individual from open unapling to become controlled landfill in small and medium-stead crites; and sample to the NSY. Reduction of domain clies N-29 Monte accuding to the source much a possible use again (reduce) from the source a much approve method of management and metropolation of domain clies N-29 Monte and Monte Alexandre and metropolation of a supervision of wate in Must Francosci and clies N-29 Monte and Monte Alexandre and Al
	2010-2014	 Data Invertory and Planning Invertorization study and GHG reduction from the solid waste sector. Regularcy and Policy Strengthening environmental policy approach to waste management and standardization (stepwers) issuance of waste product development steps and interview. Strengthening and investigation of Standardization (stepwers) externs and investigation of Standardization (stepwers) extension of NSPN in the waste field. Strance Sterner of on diversity patron in waste management. Strance Sterner of on diversity patron in waste management. Strance Sterner of one diversity patron in waste management. Creating StSK in the waste field. Mathematic of Porganian of Bantek in the district. Creating StSK in the district field fi
	Mitigation Alternative Strategies Recommendation	 Carry out an inventiony study of GHG from the solid wark sector, and on the particle sector and perfect plan, accompanied by a systematic reduction in GHG. Applying the environmentation study and accompanied by a systematic reduction in GHG. Applying the environmentation of GHG from the solid technology research, and antionmentation performance. Develop the application of environmentation policy in the warks sector which three balancing development of the discontense of the principle of 38 (reduce, reuse, recycle) in watter management. Develop the application of environmental policy for management. Conducting Interaction of the discontense of participation of CHG (Steen thuse Gases) and increase or economic of the discontent of the application of the discontent of the d
Scientific Base	Inventorization of GHG	 GHG emission from urban cold wate setor in the form of the perimetershy landli and Co2 emitter by open combustion stratisty. Dozest evel of 631 kg/person/de/pr (TM, Domest evel of 631 kg/person/de/pr (TM, Domest evel of 031 kg/person/de/pr (TM, Dorest evel of 031 kg/person/de/pr (TM, Dorest evel of the landfil (Dept. of Public Work, 2009).

6. Maluku Islands

Scientific Race			Drivity Drograme for Ma	tutu telande	
	Mitigation Alternative Strategies Recommendation				
Inventorization of GHG		2010-2014	2015 - 2019	2020 – 2024	2024 – 2029
 GHG emission from urban solid waste sector in the form GHG emission from urban solid waste sector in the form by open combaction activity. Domestic waste level of 0.61, kg/persor/day (TVA, 2009). Overall, only 21% from the total solid waste that was transported to the landfil (pept. of Pablic Works, 2009). 	 Garry out an inventory study of GHG from the solid waste sector and complete and perfect plan, accompanete by a systematic reduction to GHG. Applying the environmental perfect plan infrastructure development policy in the waste sector which is supported by the development of the monotonic plant sector which is supported by the development of the monotonic plant sector which is supported by the development of the monotonic plant sector which is supported by the development of the monotonic plant sector and environmental plant sector of environmental intrastructure also environmental interplant environmental intrastructure also environmental intrastructure also environmental interplant environm	 Data Inventory and Planning. Inventorization study and Gird reduction from the solid waste sector. Regulatory and Policy Regulatory and Policy Strengblening environmental policy agricults of the solid waste sector. Insuitable environmental policy agricults of the solid strength of the solid solid strength of the solid soli	 Regulatory and Policy Supervision and development of source of meanagement. Supervision and development of source of meanagement. Mino meanagement. Mino development according wate policy in the says. Mino development according to the source of meanagement in proprovement of wate management. Improvement of more according to the source of the says. Mino development according to the source of the says. Mino development according to the source of the says. Mino development of the source of the say according to the source of the source of the same source of the same source of the same source of the same source of the source of the source of the same source of the source of the	 Regulatory and Policy Darking legislation related to Darking legislation related to market participation and prodicipations Waste monitoring and evaluation of product development and the product development in product development and the product development in product development and the product development in a start development of the NSP. Implomentation of Program Implomentation of domarging to become controlled landfill in small and medium- sized difficiency and domarging to be add metropolitic differ by 30%. Reduction of domarge loader and improvement of councer and undifficient and metropolitic differ by 30%. Improvement of the market market and metropolitic differ by 30%. Infratructure maintenance and improvement of anamagement in district/cifies in Malkut lands. Forming of conducts of variate market product of waste market and district/cifies in Malkut lands. Tagrads by GSK, of waste in Malkut district/cifies in Malkut lands. Teargeotration of strangement in 11 district/cifies in Malkut lands. Capacity building Strengthening of the local bardshop word of waste in Malkut bardshop for and bard bardshop of waste in Malkut district/cifies in Malkut lands. Teargeotration of strangement of bardshop of waste in Malkut bardshop of waste in Malkut bardshop of market in Malkut bardshop of the local bardshop of and bardshop of the bardshop of and bardshop in 11 district/cifies in Malkut bardshop of the local bardshop of the local bardshop of and bardshop of the bardshop of and bardshop of the local bardshop of the bardshop of the local bardshop of the lo	 Regulatory and Policy Regulatory and Policy Water monitoring and evaluation of product development actoroling to the local government according transportation of local government according to the local gov

7. Papua

Scientific Base			Priority Programs for	Papua	
Inventorization of GHG	Mittigation Alternative Strategies Recommendation	2010 - 2014	2015 – 2019	2020 - 2024	2024 - 2029
 GHG emission from urban solid waste sector in the form of methane (CH4) emitted by landfill and CO2 mitted by Demostic waste lands (N, 2009). Domestic waste land for total solid waste that was transported to the landfil (bept. of build: works, 2009). 	 Carry out an inventory study of GNG from the solution in GN. Applying the environment bolicy in the varies compared by a systematic reduction in GN. Applying the environment bolicy in the varies excert which is supported by the participation of environmental programmental programments and environmental programment of programments in the varies excert which the supported by the participation of environmental programments and environmental programments and environmental programment with the economic social, and environmental programment of environment of environmental development of programment of environmental programment (in the development of environment of	 Data Inventory and Planning Inventory and Planning Inventory and Planning Inventorization study and GHG reduction from the solid wasts extor. Regularoy and Policy Regularoy and Policy Strengthening in the involution of high and generic and standardication (stepwise provision of waster regulation). Issuance of waster regulation of stepwise provision of standardization (stepwise steps). Issuance of waster regulation. Perparation of NGPM in the waster regulation. Perparation of NGPM in the waster regulation. Crearing Step Provision of standardization display finance stering. Jane Intra-step Provision of standardization display finance stering. Jane Intra-step Provision of standardization display finance crearing provision of standardization display. Crearing Step Provision of standardization display finance crearing provision of standardization display. Crearing Step Provision of and standardization display finance crearing provision of standardization display. Crearing Step Provision of and standardization display finance crearing provision of and standardization display. Crearing Step Provision of and standardization display (and the display finance crearing provision of and standardization of model in large and matering than the district/display. Crearing Step Inder erransportect to the landfill psi of the stonce at much as standardization of model and standardization display. Implementation of formatic standardization display (and fill gas at the stonce at managenear managenear the stonce and and and standardization of waster historucture in 3 district/display application in the district/display. Strengthening cale government and community and standardis and standardis application in the district/display. Strengthening strengthening ston theorem of the di	 Regulatory and Policy Superstreng batter in multi- superstreng water product development of sources management according Management according Proventing the product development a serving by the cal, government according Improvement of water management in audific from open ontrolling to become controlled landlin in small and medium- stated cites; and animplig to become controlled landlin in super Reduction of donese planteropelies (RR) before transported to the bundling by 30%. Improvemented to the bundling wate gas possible stranging water gas possible stranging water gas possible stranging water gas possible stranging water gas provide a dome of vaster in the according combustion or through application and combustion or through application and combustion or divaste in thartructure in th Provision divaste in thartructure in the provision divaste in thartructure in Pagua. Bunding of waste in a districts/ Transportation of vaste in a districts/ transportation of similar in a districts/ transportation of vaste in a districts/ transportation of vaste	 Regulatory and Policy Regulatory and Policy Durking legistation related to public-provide partmerships in waste management. Waste monitoring and evaluation of product development and evaluation of product development and the NSH. Improvement of waste management in largeline to the secone of the secone controlled inavilli in some and medium- sited of tasks and samplies to the SNH. Improvement of the source as much as a did metropolitic cites by 30%. Reductor of domanaging betwaste and metropolitic cites by 30%. Reduction of domanaging vaste gas possible, use again (cusue) and recycle 30%. Improvement of vaste and samtch as possible, use again (cusue) and recycle 30%. Reductor of domanaging vaste gas controlled and the source and improvement of vaste and samtch and controlled and the source and improvement of vaste source in 13 provision of vaste inhaugement improvement of vaste inh	 Regulatory and Policy Begulatory and Policy Terrestant constraints activity by the product development stating by the texal government according to the texal government of the texa go